Exhibit 2

Case 1:23-cv-00429WEDENSHALDBURSHANITOP PROTECTIVE 29RDPAge 2 of 108

1 2 3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION000
4	TAYLOR SMART AND MICHAEL HACKER, individually and on behalf of all
5	those similarly situated, Plaintiffs,
6	vs. Case No.
	22-cv-02125-WBS-CSK
7	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC
	ASSOCIATION, an unincorporated
8	association,
	Defendant.
9	/
	SHANNON RAY, KHALA TAYLOR, PETER
10	ROBINSON, KATHERINE SEBBANE, and
	RUDY BARAJAS, individually and on
11	behalf of all those similarly
	situated,
12	Plaintiffs,
	vs. Case No.
13	23-cv-00425-WBS-CSK
	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC
14	ASSOCIATION, an unincorporated
	association,
15	Defendant.
	/
16	
17	
18	CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
19	
20	VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF DANIEL RASCHER, Ph.D.
21	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
22	MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2024
23	Reported by:
24	Anrae Wimberley, CSR No. 7778
25	Job No. 7029700
	Page 1

Case 1:23-cv-00429WEDENSHALDBURSHANITOP PROTECTIVE 29RDPAge 3 of 108

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION
3	000
4	TAYLOR SMART AND MICHAEL HACKER,
	individually and on behalf of all
5	those similarly situated,
	Plaintiffs,
6	vs. Case No.
	22-cv-02125-WBS-CSK
7	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC
	ASSOCIATION, an unincorporated
8	association,
	Defendant.
9	/
	SHANNON RAY, KHALA TAYLOR, PETER
10	ROBINSON, KATHERINE SEBBANE, and
	RUDY BARAJAS, individually and on
11	behalf of all those similarly
ь т	situated,
12	Plaintiffs,
L Z	vs. Case No.
13	23-cv-00425-WBS-CSK
LJ	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC
14	ASSOCIATION, an unincorporated
LI	association, an unincorporated
15	Defendant.
LO	Delendant.
1 (/
16	CONTINUE A DID CHANGE TO DOCTORIUM ODDED
17	CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
18	Transcript of video-recorded deposition
19	of DANIEL RASCHER, Ph.D., taken at Munger Tolles &
20	Olson LLP, 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor,
21	San Francisco, California 94105, and also via Zoom
22	videoconference, beginning at 8:38 a.m. and ending
23	at 3:57 p.m. on Monday, December 9, 2024, before
24	Anrae Wimberley, Certified Shorthand Reporter No.
25	7778.
	Page 2

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	for Plaintiffs Taylor Smart and Michael Hacker,	
3	individually and on behalf of all those similarly	
4	situated:	
5	KOREIN TILLERY, LLC	
6	BY: GARRETT R. BROSHUIS, ESQ.	
7	STEVEN M. BEREZNEY, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)	
8	505 North 7th Street, Suite 3600	
9	St. Louis, Missouri 63101	
10	(314) 241-4844	
11	gbroshuis@koreintillery.com	
12	sberezney@koreintillery.com	
13		
14	For Plaintiffs Shannon Ray, Khala Taylor, Peter	
15	Robinson, Katherine Sebbane, and Rudy Barajas,	
16	individually and on behalf of all those similarly	
17	situated:	
18	GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC	
19	BY: DENNIS J. STEWART, ESQ.	
20	600 West Broadway, Suite 3300	
21	San Diego, California 92101	
22	(619) 595-3299	
23	dstewart@gustafsongluek.com	
24		
25		
	Page 3	
	rage 5	

Case 1:23-cv-00429WEDENSHALDBURSHANITOP PROTECTIME 29RD PROGE 5 of 108

1	For Defendant National Collegiate Athletic
2	Association:
3	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON, LLP
4	BY: JUSTIN P. RAPHAEL, ESQ.
5	560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
б	San Francisco, California 94105
7	(415) 512-4000
8	justin.raphael@mto.com
9	
10	Also present:
11	SHAWNA HYNES, Videographer
12	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
13	000
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	
	Page 4
	raye 4

Case 1:23-cv-00429WEDENSHALDBURSHANITOP PROTECTIVE 29RDPAge 6 of 108

1	Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer.	08:40:08
2	The court reporter is Anrae Wimberley from the firm	
3	Veritext Legal Solutions.	
4	I am not related to any party in this	
5	action nor am I financially interested in the	08:40:20
6	outcome.	
7	If there are any objections to proceeding,	
8	please state them at the time of your appearance.	
9	Counsel and all present will now state	
10	their appearances and affiliations for the record	08:40:32
11	beginning with the noticing attorney.	
12	MR. RAPHAEL: Justin Raphael, Munger, Tolles &	
13	Olson, for the NCAA.	
14	MR. BROSHUIS: Garrett Broshuis of Korein	
15	Tillery on behalf of the witness and the plaintiffs	08:40:44
16	in the Smart case.	
17	And joining me remotely is Steve Berezney,	
18	also from Korein Tillery.	
19	MR. STEWART: Dennis Stewart of the firm of	
20	Gustafson Gluek on behalf of the Ray plaintiffs.	08:40:58
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court	
22	reporter please swear in the witness.	
23	DANIEL RASCHER, Ph.D.,	
24	sworn in personally as a witness by the Certified	
25	Shorthand Reporter, testified as follows:	08:41:15
		Page 7

Case 1:23-cv-00429WEDENSHALDBURSUANITOP PROTECTIVE 29RDPAge 7 of 108

1	EXAMINATION	08:41:15
2	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
3	Q. Good morning, Dr. Rascher.	
4	A. Good morning.	
5	Q. My name is Justin Raphael. I represent	08:41:33
6	the NCAA.	
7	You have been deposed many times; correct?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. About how many?	
10	A. Three dozen, something in that order.	08:41:45
11	Q. How many times have you testified as an	
12	expert in a case against the NCAA?	
13	A. Let's see, O'Bannon, Alston, Rock, House,	
14	Hubbard is that five?	
15	Q. I counted five there, yes.	08:42:10
16	A. About five, I think, yes.	
17	Q. So this would make your sixth time	
18	testifying as an expert in a case against the NCAA?	
19	A. I believe so.	
20	Q. And you've been testifying against the	08:42:22
21	NCAA in antitrust cases for more than a decade?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And how much have you earned in total in	
24	your career from testifying as an expert against the	
25	NCAA?	08:42:37
		Page 8

Case 1:23-cv-00429WEDENSHALDBURSHANITOP PROTECTIVE 29RDPAge 8 of 108

1	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; lack of foundation,	09:02:39
2	outside the scope.	
3	MR. STEWART: Join.	
4	THE WITNESS: I mean, it depends. Both private	
5	and public universities generate revenues. Some of	09:02:49
6	them get revenues from their school directly.	
7	The fact that the public universities get	
8	money from the state sort of passes through the	
9	university. It's not coming directly from the state	
10	typically.	09:03:09
11	So in other words, once it you know,	
12	once the campus is deciding how to run its athletic	
13	department, it doesn't it sort of doesn't matter	
14	that the source is coming from the state versus from	
15	their own endowment or from their own private	09:03:20
16	university sources.	
17	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
18	Q. Do you know whether any state universities	
19	need approval of the state legislature to add	
20	personnel in their athletic department?	09:03:31
21	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
22	MR. STEWART: Join.	
23	THE WITNESS: I do not know if that's the case.	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. Now, were there differences among the	09:03:43
		Page 25

Case 1:23-cv-00429WEDENSHALDBURSHANITOP PROTECTIVE 29RDPAge 9 of 108

		_
1	Division I schools that you consulted for in how	09:03:47
2	they allocated their athletic budgets to different	
3	sports?	
4	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope.	
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	09:03:57
6	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
7	Q. So some of the schools that you consulted	
8	for might have allocated a bigger proportion of	
9	their budget to some sports, whereas other schools	
10	may have allocated a bigger portion of their budgets	09:04:11
11	to different sports; right?	
12	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, outside the	
13	scope.	
14	THE WITNESS: I mean, yeah, they're not all	
15	spending exactly the same amount or same percentages	09:04:22
16	on each sport.	
17	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
18	Q. And based on your work consulting for	
19	these Division I schools, what accounts for that?	
20	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections and foundation.	09:04:30
21	MR. STEWART: Join.	
22	THE WITNESS: I mean, the schools decide how	
23	they're going to invest their dollars. And so some	
24	of that is accounted for by some of the NCAA rules,	
25	by conference rules, and then by their own	09:04:48
		Page 26

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 10 of 108

1	individual decision making, which, you know, is	09:04:52
2	affected by the stakeholders that are helping them	
3	make their decisions.	
4	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
5	Q. And what are some of the factors that you	09:05:03
6	have observed in your consulting work for Division I	
7	schools that go into their individual decision	
8	making about how to allocate their budgets?	
9	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
10	MR. STEWART: Join.	09:05:17
11	THE WITNESS: Really, looking at what their	
12	goals are.	
13	So if they want to have a broad-based	
14	program or not, the schools that I've worked with,	
15	some of them have you know, they try to look at	09:05:42
16	their ROI on each sort of investment that they might	
17	make.	
18	But the factors are really you know,	
19	really that. It's like sort of what do we need to	
20	do to meet our conference requirements and our NCAA	09:05:58
21	requirements? What do we need to do to try to	
22	compete within our conference? What's the return on	
23	investing over here versus over there?	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. In your experience consulting for	09:06:12
		Page 27

Case 1:23-cv-004260MBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 11 of 108

1	THE WITNESS: No. Some of them have sort of	09:07:24
2	the minimum number of sports and others have a lot	
3	of sports, like an Ohio State or a Stanford or a	
4	Williams College.	
5	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	09:07:34
6	Q. And some schools in Division I, in your	
7	experience, decide to focus maybe disproportionately	
8	on a few sports that may earn them the most return	
9	on investment; right?	
10	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates the	09:07:48
11	testimony, vague, outside the scope, lack of	
12	foundation.	
13	MR. STEWART: Join.	
14	THE WITNESS: I mean, as I said before, just	
15	each school has its own separate P&L statement. In	09:08:00
16	other words, it's of course, the amount of	
17	dollars they're investing in a particular sport is	
18	going to vary across schools.	
19	And the amount relative within the	
20	department can vary, although a lot of the schools	09:08:15
21	sort of tend to follow a similar pattern, especially	
22	within the same conferences.	
23	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
24	Q. I guess what I'm asking is, not all	
25	schools in Division I allocate their athletic	09:08:27
		Page 29

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZVIVI**D**ORDER**ge 12 of 108

1	budgets proportionally in the same way; right?	09:08:32
2	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, also lacks	
3	foundation.	
4	MR. STEWART: The same. Join.	
5	THE WITNESS: When you say	09:08:41
6	MR. BROSHUIS: Outside the scope.	
7	THE WITNESS: When you say, "proportionally,"	
8	to what?	
9	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
10	Q. Well, different schools in Division I	09:08:48
11	allocate their athletic budgets to different sports	
12	in different proportions; right?	
13	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
14	MR. STEWART: Join.	
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, as I said before, they	09:09:02
16	yeah, the relative dollar amounts or the absolute	
17	dollar amounts can vary from school to school, but	
18	they do tend to, within conferences, push themselves	
19	in similar directions. So like having, you know,	
20	somewhat relative investments.	09:09:22
21	I talked about this a fair amount in the	
22	O'Bannon case.	
23	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
24	Q. Is it the case that all schools in	
25	Division I allocate their athletic budgets to	09:09:38
		Page 30

Case 1:23-cv-004260MBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFEOZVIVE ORDERge 13 of 108

1	different sports in the same proportion?	09:09:41
2	A. No.	
3	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection. The same objections.	
4	MR. STEWART: Join.	
5	THE WITNESS: No.	09:09:47
6	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
7	Q. Why not?	
8	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
9	MR. STEWART: Join.	
10	THE WITNESS: Because they're investing to try	09:09:54
11	to satisfy their objectives.	
12	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
13	Q. And in your experience, different schools	
14	in Division I have different objectives for their	
15	athletic departments; right?	09:10:07
16	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates testimony,	
17	asked and answered, outside the scope still, and	
18	still lack of foundation.	
19	MR. STEWART: Join.	
20	THE WITNESS: Could you ask that again? Sorry.	09:10:18
21	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
22	Q. In your experience, different schools in	
23	Division I have different objectives for their	
24	athletic departments; right?	
25	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	09:10:28
		Page 31

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFEOZVIVE ORDERge 14 of 108

1	MR. STEWART: Join.	09:10:30
2	THE WITNESS: So as I said before, I think they	
3	broadly have similar objectives and they invest	
4	maybe in different ways to try to meet those	
5	objectives, but they broadly have similar	09:10:40
6	objectives.	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. Now, I think two of the schools that you	
9	consulted for were UC Berkeley and USF; right?	
10	A. Yes.	09:10:52
11	Q. Do UC Berkeley and USF prioritize the same	
12	sports in their athletic department?	
13	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; lack of foundation,	
14	outside the scope.	
15	MR. STEWART: Join.	09:11:06
16	THE WITNESS: I mean, they have Berkeley	
17	offers more sports than USF. So just by that, USF	
18	can't prioritize football because it doesn't offer	
19	football.	
20	Of the sports that they offer in common,	09:11:20
21	they both, you know, emphasize basketball, men's and	
22	women's. They both emphasize baseball.	
23	Obviously, Cal Berkeley emphasizes	
24	football and water polo and swimming. USF doesn't	
25	offer water polo and swimming.	09:11:44
		Page 32

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 15 of 108

1	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to I know the	09:23:03
2	length was part of it.	
3	I don't remember if the number was part of	
4	it, the number of scholarships.	
5	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	09:23:25
6	Q. Are there any differences from the	
7	analysis that you did regarding injury and damages	
8	in this case and the analysis of injury and damages	
9	that you did in the Rock case?	
10	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation, outside	09:23:39
11	the scope.	
12	THE WITNESS: I don't remember. I don't	
13	remember what I did in the Rock case.	
14	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
15	Q. Sitting here today, do you have any memory	09:23:48
16	of the opinions that you put forward regarding	
17	injury and damages in Rock versus the NCAA?	
18	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope.	
19	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	
20	MR. RAPHAEL: All right. Let's mark this as	09:24:07
21	Exhibit 80.	
22	(Deposition Exhibit 80 was marked.)	
23	(Witness reviews document.)	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. So Exhibit 80 is a copy of the expert	09:24:50
		Page 43

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 16 of 108

1	available in basketball and football.	09:34:45
2	Q. Those are the only sources of publicly	
3	available information on salaries paid to coaches at	
4	Division I schools that you're aware of?	
5	A. That I'm aware of, yes.	09:34:57
6	Q. How does an economist define the but-for	
7	world?	
8	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, overbroad.	
9	THE WITNESS: Generally, it's if the	
10	allegations in the case were true and there was	09:35:16
11	you could sort of rewind the clock and say, Let's	
12	assume that these whatever in this case this	
13	rule was not in place, what would the world look	
14	like?	
15	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	09:35:39
16	Q. Would you agree that incentives are very	
17	important in economics?	
18	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, foundation.	
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
20	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	09:35:46
21	Q. And should an economist modeling the	
22	but-for world assume that all market participants	
23	would act consistent with their economic incentives?	
24	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope,	
25	vague, foundation.	09:36:01
		Page 52

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITY**D-**D**ORD**ER**ge 17 of 108

1	THE WITNESS: When modeling the but-for world?	09:36:03
2	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
3	Q. Yes.	
4	A. It sort of depends on the situation and	
5	the structure that they're in.	09:36:11
6	But, generally, they're going to make	
7	decisions that benefit them in the way that they see	
8	how their benefits work.	
9	Q. And that's a fundamental assumption of	
10	economics, that people generally act in accordance	09:36:24
11	with their incentives?	
12	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
14	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
15	Q. In modeling the but-for world in this	09:36:33
16	case, have you assumed that coaches who worked as	
17	volunteers would have negotiated their salaries?	
18	A. I don't make that assumption.	
19	Q. Okay. Could you go to paragraph 39 of	
20	your report?	09:36:53
21	Your declaration, excuse me.	
22	A. This one or my the most recent one?	
23	Q. The one that's in front of you.	
24	A. What was that paragraph?	
25	Q. It's on page 19, paragraph 39.	09:37:12
		Page 53

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 18 of 108

1	without NCAA rules saying, you know, they couldn't	09:43:36
2	do that or that they had to pay them zero.	
3	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
4	Q. So as we sit here today in 2024, the NCAA	
5	Division I bylaws say that baseball programs can	09:43:48
6	only pay four paid coaches?	
7	A. The head coach and then the three	
8	assistant coaches, yeah.	
9	Q. Okay. Now, are you assuming that that	
10	rule would have been in place during the class	09:44:05
11	period in the but-for world that you're modeling?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. In your view, is there anything	
14	anticompetitive about an NCAA bylaw that says that	
15	baseball programs can only hire a paid head coach	09:44:31
16	and three paid assistants?	
17	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope,	
18	lack of foundation.	
19	THE WITNESS: I don't have an opinion on that.	
20	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	09:44:48
21	Q. So the but-for world that you have modeled	
22	in this case is one where there is a maximum number	
23	of transactions in the labor market that could have	
24	taken place; right?	
25	A. Can you clarify what you mean by that?	09:45:00
		Page 59

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 19 of 108

1	Q. Sure. So I think you testified that the	09:45:04
2	but-for world you're assuming in this case is one	
3	where each baseball program could only hire a	
4	maximum of three paid assistants; right?	
5	A. Yes.	09:45:15
6	Q. Okay. So and the labor market that you	
7	have defined in this case is for Division I	
8	assistant baseball coaches?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. Okay. So in the but-for world that you	09:45:27
11	have modeled in this case, there is a maximum number	
12	of transactions in the market for Division I	
13	assistant baseball coaches; right?	
14	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague.	
15	THE WITNESS: "Transactions," you mean the	09:45:42
16	hiring of a coach?	
17	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
18	Q. Correct.	
19	A. Oh, okay.	
20	Well, let's see. There's a number of	09:45:51
21	schools and three coaches assistant coaches, so,	
22	yeah, I guess there would be a fixed number.	
23	Q. And the fixed number of paid assistant	
24	coaches who could have been hired in the market in	
25	the but-for world could be lower than the number of	09:46:11
		Page 60

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 20 of 108

1	Q. Have you ever worked on cases involving	09:47:20
2	price fixing of consumer products?	
3	A. Yes. Contact lenses, carpets, brand-name	
4	drugs, flat-panel televisions.	
5	There may be others. Those are ones that	09:47:49
6	come to mind.	
7	Q. And in those cases involving alleged price	
8	fixing of consumer products, was there a fixed cap	
9	on the number of transactions that could have	
10	occurred in the market in the but-for world?	09:48:03
11	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation.	
12	THE WITNESS: I mean, I don't remember the	
13	details of those cases.	
14	MR. STEWART: Just when you hit a convenient	
15	spot, could we take a break?	09:48:14
16	MR. RAPHAEL: That's fine now.	
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of Media	
18	No. 1. Off the record. The time is 9:48.	
19	(Recess taken.)	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning of	09:59:34
21	Media No. 2 in the deposition of Daniel Rascher.	
22	We're back on the record. The time is 9:59.	
23	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
24	Q. Dr. Rascher, are you offering any opinion	
25	about the amount of revenue that any athletics	09:59:51
		Page 62

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZVIVI**D**ORDER**ge 21 of 108

1	department in Division I would have earned in the	09:59:57
2	but-for world?	
3	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection. Report speaks for	
4	itself.	
5	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not offering an opinion	10:00:10
6	on that.	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. So you're not assuming you're not	
9	making any assumption about the amount of revenue	
10	that any athletics department in Division I would	10:00:19
11	have earned in any year during the class period?	
12	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: My analysis takes again, as an	
14	economist is supposed to do in the but-for world,	
15	I'm trying to change as little as possible tied	10:00:33
16	directly to the case.	
17	So just change the rule, go back in time.	
18	So the athletics departments were earning revenues	
19	from their baseball programs. And so my analysis	
20	continues with that same set of information.	10:00:54
21	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
22	Q. So you're not assuming that any Division I	
23	athletics department would have earned more revenue	
24	or had more funding during the class period in the	
25	but-for world than they actually had in the actual	10:01:11
		Page 63

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 22 of 108

1	And we see this in Alston payments. We	10:04:50
2	see this in cost-of-attendance payments, which are	
3	much larger total dollar amounts, that the schools	
4	adjust to that as it goes forward.	
5	And so some of the schools may wait a year	10:05:03
6	to do that, and that's why we have the lingering	
7	effects problem that we need to solve. Other	
8	schools may do it right away. Just like we saw in	
9	'23/'24, schools all of a sudden were allowed to	
10	hire a third coach, and they did and they paid them	10:05:23
11	and then they came up with the funding for it.	
12	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
13	Q. Do you agree that at least some schools in	
14	order to fund the salary of an additional paid	
15	baseball coach would have had to reduce some other	10:05:30
16	expenditure at the university?	
17	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates testimony.	
18	MR. STEWART: Objection; lacks foundation.	
19	MR. BROSHUIS: And lacks foundation.	
20	THE WITNESS: At the university, possibly, yes.	10:05:42
21	But they also may have, you know,	
22	continued to sort of fundraise or they would have	
23	waited a year and then they would have allocated	
24	some of that 6 percent growth in their revenues.	
25	(Reporter seeks clarification.)	10:06:07
		Page 67

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 23 of 108

BY MR. RAPHAEL:	10:06:07
Q. Do you know which of those strategies for	
funding the additional paid baseball coach each	
university would have taken in the but-for world?	
MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation.	10:06:22
THE WITNESS: I don't need to know that, and I	
don't know that.	
BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
Q. Okay. So you've not done any analysis of	
where the schools who you say would have hired an	10:06:28
additional paid baseball coach in the but-for world	
would have gotten the money to do that; right?	
MR. BROSHUIS: Same objection.	
THE WITNESS: I mean, as I as we've	
discussed here, in all of the work I've done in	10:06:41
these NCAA cases and the work for universities,	
right, they basically take from the least important	
thing on campus.	
Another thing that happened at USF was	
they used to collect our trash in our offices every	10:06:58
day. When we had a budget crisis, one of the	
things, they started collecting trash twice a week,	
and they saved tens of thousands of dollars doing	
that.	
So, you know and they spent that. I	10:07:13
	Page 68
	Q. Do you know which of those strategies for funding the additional paid baseball coach each university would have taken in the but-for world? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation. THE WITNESS: I don't need to know that, and I don't know that. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Okay. So you've not done any analysis of where the schools who you say would have hired an additional paid baseball coach in the but-for world would have gotten the money to do that; right? MR. BROSHUIS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I mean, as I as we've discussed here, in all of the work I've done in these NCAA cases and the work for universities, right, they basically take from the least important thing on campus. Another thing that happened at USF was they used to collect our trash in our offices every day. When we had a budget crisis, one of the things, they started collecting trash twice a week, and they saved tens of thousands of dollars doing that.

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERge 24 of 108

1	do it in the first year, and so you might apply	10:18:43
2	damages to those schools. And then in the second	
3	year, we pick a number of more schools and then in a	
4	third year and then you finally get to equilibrium.	
5	I just made that those steps, you know,	10:18:55
6	three-plus years before.	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. So you assumed that the NCAA bylaws that	
9	are being challenged in this case would have ceased	
10	to exist at least three years before the start of	10:19:05
11	the class period; is that correct?	
12	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered.	
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's what my analysis	
14	assumes.	
15	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	10:19:13
16	Q. Does your but-for world assume that the	
17	COVID pandemic would have occurred?	
18	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; incomplete	
19	hypothetical.	
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:19:24
21	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
22	Q. And are you offering any opinion about how	
23	the COVID-19 pandemic would have affected revenues,	
24	funding or expenditures at any Division I school?	
25	A. Well, I account for that in my analysis.	10:19:36
		Page 81

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERge 25 of 108

1	Q. How is someone harmed who was a volunteer	10:21:53
2	at a school who would not have hired an additional	
3	paid baseball coach in the but-for world?	
4	MR. BROSHUIS: Misstates prior testimony.	
5	THE WITNESS: Because the market is harmed, and	10:22:06
6	so that person imagine there's a school near them	
7	that does pay, then all of a sudden maybe that	
8	person or someone else takes that job, and then it	
9	opens up another like there's more paid jobs	
10	available for this person to try to compete for.	10:22:19
11	When there's fewer paid jobs available,	
12	then the market is harmed and, you know, that's what	
13	antitrust harm is.	
14	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
15	Q. So the way that someone who volunteered at	10:22:29
16	a school who would not have hired an additional paid	
17	baseball coach in the but-for world could have been	
18	harmed is that they might have been able to work	
19	coaching baseball at a different school?	
20	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; still misstates the	10:22:43
21	prior testimony.	
22	THE WITNESS: I mean, the whole market you	
23	know, the whole market wage was going up, and so	
24	that essentially puts pressure on schools to meet	
25	that wage, right, because that person may go and	10:22:53
		Page 84

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 26 of 108

1	coach at this other school nearby, for instance.	10:22:56
2	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
3	Q. Right.	
4	A. So everyone in the market is harmed.	
5	That's how when a market is harmed, it affects	10:23:05
6	the entire market. It may affect different elements	
7	of the market, you know, differently, but all of	
8	these volunteer coaches had no chance to try to go	
9	and compete for these paid positions because the	
10	rules say it was in place.	10:23:22
11	Q. And what is the amount of damage that	
12	someone who volunteered at a school that would not	
13	have hired an additional paid baseball coach in the	
14	but-for world suffered?	
15	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; still misstates the	10:23:41
16	testimony.	
17	THE WITNESS: So my analysis is measuring a	
18	zero dollar damage for them, if I'm understanding	
19	your hypothetical, but there is potentially a damage	
20	to them because, you know, they couldn't have gotten	10:23:52
21	some other job. It's just more of it's just a	
22	different way of trying to measure damages.	
23	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
24	Q. How would you try to measure damages for	
25	someone who volunteered at a school that would not	10:24:04
		Page 85

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 27 of 108

1	have hired an additional paid baseball coach?	10:24:06
2	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; still misstates the	
3	testimony.	
4	THE WITNESS: I would have to sit down and try	
5	to figure out a way to do that.	10:24:24
6	As I sit here, I can't give you an expert	
7	opinion on that.	
8	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
9	Q. To estimate the damages for someone who	
10	was a volunteer at a school that would not have	10:24:33
11	hired an additional paid baseball coach, would you	
12	have to figure out what other school would have	
13	hired that person?	
14	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; still misstates the	
15	testimony and incomplete hypothetical.	10:24:47
16	THE WITNESS: I mean, one might be able to look	
17	at sort of what is happening to the marketplace in	
18	general, right? What is the market wage now, what	
19	was it before, right? Could you apply something	
20	around that controlling for some set of factors	10:25:00
21	about schools, conferences, things like that?	
22	But I'm not doing that in this case.	
23	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
24	Q. Can you think of any way that someone who	
25	was a volunteer at a school that would not have	10:25:19
		Page 86

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERge 28 of 108

1	hired an additional paid baseball coach would have	10:25:22
2	been harmed other than losing out on compensation	
3	they would have earned at a different school?	
4	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; still misstates the	
5	testimony.	10:25:35
6	THE WITNESS: I mean, not as I sit here, I	
7	can't think of a way to do that. But I've given you	
8	some examples.	
9	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
10	Q. If a Division I college or university	10:26:02
11	would have hired a different coach for a paid	
12	position that it hired for the volunteer position,	
13	was the volunteer who had the volunteer position	
14	harmed?	
15	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; lack of foundation,	10:26:17
16	outside the scope.	
17	THE WITNESS: Again, they're in a market in	
18	which they are harmed and they didn't have a chance	
19	to be able to try to compete for that position or	
20	positions at other schools.	10:26:34
21	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
22	Q. Right. So my hypothetical is that the	
23	Division I college or university would have hired a	
24	coach for the paid position in the but-for world who	
25	was different than the volunteer at that school	10:26:47
		Page 87

Case 1:23-cv-004260MEDENKIALDoRURSHAND-DO PROFFEOZVIVESORDERge 29 of 108

1	A. I don't remember the title. I believe it	10:33:35
2	has the word "coconuts" in the title, so you could	
3	probably find it.	
4	Q. And Peter Diamond's work, is that	
5	considered standard in labor economics?	10:33:44
6	A. Yeah, it's very foundational.	
7	Q. And you did not try to implement any	
8	version of the Peter Diamond type model where you	
9	calculated the probability that coaches would	
10	actually find a match with a school; right?	10:33:58
11	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates testimony.	
12	THE WITNESS: My analysis, I have a different	
13	way of measuring damages.	
14	You asked me about how one could measure	
15	damages for people that I'm not measuring damages	10:34:13
16	for. I have my own method for measuring damages for	
17	the volunteers at the schools that would have hired	
18	in the but-for world had they been allowed to.	
19	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
20	Q. Oh, okay. Thank you. Let me just make	10:34:26
21	sure I understand that.	
22	So the Peter Diamond type model where you	
23	try to estimate the probability of workers finding	
24	matches, that's a way that you might try to estimate	
25	damages for people who volunteered at a school that	10:34:40
		Page 94

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 30 of 108

1	wouldn't have hired an additional paid coach; right?	10:34:44
2	A. Again, I you would have to sit down and	
3	work through all of that, but that is a possibility	
4	or a path to go down.	
5	Q. Right.	10:34:53
6	A. My analysis I mean, I have better data	
7	than Peter Diamond had in his articles in terms of	
8	like he was looking at prospecting information.	
9	I have a natural experiment here, that,	
10	you know, we didn't have this natural experiment, if	10:35:08
11	this rule were still in place, right, then we would	
12	have to come up with a different way of measuring	
13	damages, and one might go down the path that I was	
14	talking about with Peter Diamond.	
15	Q. And but just to be clear, you did not	10:35:20
16	implement any model of the sort that Peter Diamond	
17	did about predicting the probability that coaches in	
18	the class would have found a match at any particular	
19	school; right?	
20	A. I mean, I'm predicting the probability of	10:35:36
21	the coaches being hired, and those coaches did those	
22	jobs. So that's how I do it.	
23	But I'm not doing a matching model.	
24	Q. What are some of the inputs into the	
25	matching model that Peter Diamond has put forward in	10:35:52
		Page 95

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 31 of 108

1	the economic literature?	10:35:55
2	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope.	
3	THE WITNESS: I mean, I don't recall. He just	
4	has skill people have skill sets. There's firms	
5	with different demands. They're in the same labor	10:36:06
6	market. There's search cost.	
7	You know, it's mostly theoretical is the	
8	work that he was doing it with.	
9	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
10	Q. So is it standard labor economics that	10:36:23
11	workers' skill sets will affect their ability to get	
12	matches with employers?	
13	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope.	
14	THE WITNESS: I mean, certainly, workers'	
15	skills affect the labor market, yeah. I mean,	10:36:40
16	that's pretty straightforward. More so in a sort	
17	of a textbook labor market, meaning things are open,	
18	things are competitive, information is known on both	
19	sides of the table.	
20	You know, as you start to restrict what's	10:36:57
21	allowed or what's known, then all of a sudden, you	
22	can have you know, you can have different	
23	outcomes.	
24	Again, I don't have to worry about any of	
25	that stuff because I have a natural experiment.	10:37:08
		Page 96

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 32 of 108

1	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	10:37:11
2	Q. So is it standard labor economics that the	
3	matches between workers and employers will depend on	
4	the employers' demand and the workers' skill sets?	
5	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates	10:37:26
6	testimony	
7	THE WITNESS: Among other things.	
8	MR. BROSHUIS: misstates testimony, outside	
9	the scope	
10	THE WITNESS: I mean, again	10:37:28
11	MR. BROSHUIS: foundation.	
12	(Reporter seeks clarification.)	
13	THE WITNESS: I mean, in a labor market, you	
14	know, you have buyers and sellers, right? And the	
15	sellers have various skill sets and the buyers have	10:37:39
16	various demands, and so they that's true in this	
17	labor market, and that's true in other labor	
18	markets.	
19	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
20	Q. And skill sets and demand vary from	10:37:49
21	employee to employee and employer to employer?	
22	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope.	
23	THE WITNESS: I mean, they can.	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. Can you think of any reason why volunteer	10:38:01
		Page 97
		I I

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 33 of 108

1	Q. As a matter of economics, is it true that	10:39:30
2	some people might accept something for free that	
3	they wouldn't pay for if they had to?	
4	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope,	
5	foundation.	10:39:43
6	THE WITNESS: "Accept something," like can you	
7	give me an example?	
8	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
9	Q. Sure. So we're looking across from the	
10	ballpark; right?	10:39:51
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Okay. So is it true that sometimes at	
13	baseball games, the team gives out stuff for free	
14	that people will accept even though they might not	
15	buy those things if they had to?	10:40:04
16	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; incomplete	
17	hypothetical, outside the scope.	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
19	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
20	Q. So as a matter of economics, is it true	10:40:10
21	that sometimes people or companies will accept	
22	things for free that they wouldn't pay for if paying	
23	for them was required?	
24	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
25	THE WITNESS: Sure, that's possible. It's not	10:40:25
		Page 99

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFEOZVIVE ORDERge 34 of 108

1	relevant here, but that's possible.	10:40:28
2	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
3	Q. Could you go to paragraph 136 of your	
4	expert declaration that's in front of you.	
5	(Witness reads document.)	10:41:07
6	Q. Sorry, paragraph 135, just above that.	
7	I'm sorry, the same page. And I'm looking at the	
8	end of the paragraph.	
9	A. All right. Let me just take a look at it.	
10	Q. Sure. Feel free to read whatever you need	10:41:33
11	to read.	
12	(Witness reads document.)	
13	A. Okay.	
14	Q. So do you see at the end of paragraph 135	
15	of your November 1st expert declaration, you say	10:42:12
16	that "the harm is measured as an appropriate	
17	estimate of the value of the services actually	
18	provided, in a world without collusion to zero out	
19	pay."	
20	Do you see that?	10:42:28
21	A. Somehow, I didn't see where you read that	
22	even though I'm here. Is that the last sentence?	
23	Q. It is. I apologize.	
24	A. Okay. No, no, that's okay. I was somehow	
25	up a sentence.	10:42:40
		Page 100

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 35 of 108

1	A. Some of them did, but many of them hired	10:45:30
2	the same coaches, also.	
3	Q. And some hired different coaches; right?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. So are you assuming that, at schools that	10:45:35
6	hired an additional paid coach who was different	
7	than the volunteer they hired, that both of those	
8	coaches provided the exact same amount of value to	
9	the university?	
10	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; irrelevant.	10:45:49
11	THE WITNESS: I don't need to assume that they	
12	provided the exact same amount of value.	
13	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
14	Q. And because you didn't need to assume it,	
15	you didn't assume it?	10:45:57
16	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; irrelevant.	
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't assume that.	
18	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
19	Q. Did you do any analysis to determine	
20	whether any two coaches who were hired, either	10:46:12
21	before or after the class period, provided the same	
22	or a different amount of value to the schools where	
23	they coached?	
24	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; irrelevant.	
25	THE WITNESS: Not directly. I mean, it's	10:46:34
		Page 103

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 36 of 108

1	some of that's baked into, I think, what they were	10:46:37
2	paid, but that wasn't necessary for me to do in	
3	order to do my analysis.	
4	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
5	Q. And so you didn't do it?	10:46:44
6	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I didn't I didn't	
8	yeah, I didn't need to compare that because we have	
9	what the schools actually decided to do.	
10	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	10:46:56
11	Q. Would you agree that the amount of value	
12	that a school gets from a coach's services is a	
13	function of the skills of that coach?	
14	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation.	
15	MR. STEWART: Vague.	10:47:11
16	THE WITNESS: Part of it, yeah.	
17	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
18	Q. And so all things being equal, a school	
19	that gets the benefit of services from a more	
20	skilled coach would get more value than they get	10:47:21
21	from a less skilled coach; right?	
22	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; incomplete	
23	hypothetical.	
24	THE WITNESS: If everything else is held	
25	constant and assuming that the more skilled coach is	10:47:32
		Page 104

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 37 of 108

1	able to provide more valuable services, then the	10:47:38
2	school would get more out of them.	
3	But that's a lot of assumptions in that	
4	hypothetical.	
5	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	10:47:49
6	Q. Okay. And would you agree that the amount	
7	that a school will pay a coach is a function of the	
8	value that they'll get from that coach's services?	
9	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
10	THE WITNESS: That's what I said, part	10:48:02
11	it's one of the factors in how much a school is	
12	going to pay a coach is based on what that coach is	
13	going to do for that school.	
14	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
15	Q. And so a school might be willing to pay a	10:48:12
16	more skilled coach more than a less skilled coach;	
17	right?	
18	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections, incomplete	
19	hypothetical.	
20	THE WITNESS: I mean, again, if they were	10:48:26
21	presented with that. And in this case, again, the	
22	coaches actually did they actually did hire the	
23	coaches, they just weren't allowed to pay them.	
24	So they chose the coaches that they wanted	
25	at whatever skill level that they had. They already	10:48:41
		Page 105

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFEOZVIVE ORDERge 38 of 108

1	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objection.	10:51:09
2	THE WITNESS: I mean, no, I didn't need to do	
3	that, so no.	
4	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
5	Q. For an economist, is the value that a	10:51:17
6	product or services provide the buyer the same as	
7	the market price of that product or service?	
8	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague.	
9	THE WITNESS: I mean, it depends. It depends	
10	on the nature of the demand.	10:51:36
11	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
12	Q. Right. So the value that a product or	
13	service provides a particular buyer is not always	
14	the same as the market price of that product or	
15	service?	10:51:50
16	A. Usually, the value is higher than the	
17	market price or else they wouldn't have purchased	
18	it.	
19	Q. And the market price of a product or	
20	service depends on the supply and demand of all of	10:52:00
21	the other buyers and all of the other suppliers in	
22	the market; right?	
23	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; incomplete	
24	hypothetical.	
25	THE WITNESS: So, yeah, generally, in a	10:52:12
		Page 108

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 39 of 108

1	textbook situation, you know, where you don't have	10:52:14
2	certain restrictions or you have information	
3	asymmetries and so forth, yeah, the market price	
4	evolves out of the demand and supply and the	
5	aggregation of the different demands and different	10:52:28
6	supplies.	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. So if an economist wanted to determine the	
9	market price of a product or service, they couldn't	
10	look at the value that one buyer got from that	10:52:39
11	product or service; right?	
12	A. I mean, that could provide information,	
13	sure. In fact, that's part of usually when someone	
14	does that process.	
15	But they might look at other things, too.	10:52:51
16	Q. Do economists model the decisions of	
17	universities the same way that they model the	
18	decisions of for-profit firms?	
19	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope.	
20	THE WITNESS: Depends on the situation.	10:53:12
21	I discuss this quite a bit in O'Bannon and	
22	Alston, sort of the revenue theory of cost of	
23	running a nonprofit and how the nonprofits will tend	
24	to spend their revenues at a higher rate than say a	
25	for-profit might who tries to a for-profit might	10:53:32
		Page 109

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFEOZVIVE ORDERge 40 of 108

1	whole paragraph for context.	10:56:25
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
3	(Witness reviews document.)	
4	THE WITNESS: Now, I'm sorry, what was your	
5	question?	10:57:00
6	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
7	Q. Why would volunteer coaches be at risk of	
8	being lost to other paid positions in Division I?	
9	A. Well, I mean, they might have been able to	
10	go to say a smaller school and be the second	10:57:15
11	assistant or something like that.	
12	Q. Is it correct as a matter of economics	
13	that, in general, the more compensation that is	
14	offered for labor, the more workers will be	
15	interested in providing that labor?	10:57:41
16	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague.	
17	THE WITNESS: I mean, again, with all the	
18	assumptions that go with that, yeah, compensation	
19	has a positive impact on a worker choosing a job,	
20	all else equal.	10:58:06
21	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
22	Q. And as an economist, all else equal, would	
23	you expect more workers to be interested in	
24	providing labor at a salary than for no salary?	
25	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; incomplete	10:58:17
		Page 112

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O PROFFE**02/11/12©**RD**ER**ge 41 of 108

1	hypothetical.	10:58:18
2	THE WITNESS: Again, it depends on the	
3	situation. Are there you know, depends on the	
4	labor market itself.	
5	Yeah, it depends on the labor market.	10:58:29
6	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
7	Q. Why would it depend on the labor market?	
8	A. Well, are there workers	
9	You know, if the San Francisco Giants	
10	offered to pay me a bunch of money to play baseball,	10:58:44
11	that doesn't mean that I'm qualified to play	
12	baseball, you know? And so it depends on the nature	
13	of the labor market.	
14	Q. As an economist, all else equal, would you	
15	expect more qualified workers to be interested in	10:59:02
16	providing labor at a salary than at no salary?	
17	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; incomplete	
18	hypothetical.	
19	THE WITNESS: As I said, it depends on what	
20	else is happening in the labor market.	10:59:14
21	But assuming we're in sort of a textbook,	
22	econ 101, then higher pay will tend to, you know,	
23	increase the labor supply.	
24	But, again, it depends if that's you	
25	know, if that's the nature of the particular	10:59:30
		Page 113

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 42 of 108

1	question that you're asking.	10:59:34
2	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
3	Q. And have you studied that question in this	
4	case?	
5	A. I mean, I have looked at where a lot of	10:59:40
6	where the coaches came from, right, you know,	
7	what you know, what the coaches were doing prior	
8	to the rule change, and it's that coaches are coming	
9	from within the same labor pool.	
10	So I have looked at that.	10:59:57
11	Q. Okay. And is it your opinion that there	
12	would not be more coaches interested in coaching at	
13	a salary than coaching at no salary?	
14	A. Well, there's a fixed number of positions.	
15	So those the coaches that we saw move into these	11:00:18
16	paid positions are coming from the same labor pool,	
17	right?	
18	They're the ones who are getting these	
19	positions, were the prior volunteers at the various	
20	schools and the prior workers at those various	11:00:34
21	baseball teams.	
22	Q. Well, not all of the coaches who got paid	
23	positions after the bylaws changed had been	
24	volunteers; right?	
25	A. Not all of them but a majority of them.	11:00:49
		Page 114

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 43 of 108

1	Q. So if coaches who took paid positions	11:06:39
2	after the bylaws were amended had previously been	
3	coaching outside of Division I or not coaching in	
4	Division I at all, those jobs were not in the labor	
5	market that you've defined?	11:06:53
6	A. The prior jobs?	
7	Q. Yeah.	
8	A. Yes, some of them had prior jobs that were	
9	outside of the labor market, but the bulk of them	
10	had coaching jobs within the Division I baseball.	11:07:04
11	Q. So some people who took paid coaching jobs	
12	that were created after the bylaws were amended	
13	entered the labor market you have defined after the	
14	rules changed; right?	
15	A. It's a small amount, but yes, they did,	11:07:22
16	and it doesn't impact my analysis of them.	
17	MR. RAPHAEL: I'm not recalling when we last	
18	broke but	
19	MR. BROSHUIS: It's an hour eight.	
20	MR. RAPHAEL: this might be an okay time.	11:07:45
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of Media	
23	No. 2. Off the record. The time is 11:07.	
24	(Recess taken.)	
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning of	11:24:02
		Page 120

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFEOZVIVE ORDERge 44 of 108

		_
1	Certainly could have been possible, and	11:31:29
2	the actual data would have borne that out anyway,	
3	like the actual experiment, but it doesn't impact my	
4	analysis.	
5	Q. Well, in fact, some coaches who were first	11:31:41
6	or second paid assistants at one school became the	
7	third paid assistant at another school after the	
8	bylaws were amended; right?	
9	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in	
10	evidence.	11:31:54
11	THE WITNESS: I believe that was true. I think	
12	it was a small number of folks, yeah.	
13	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
14	Q. Is there a concept in labor economics	
15	called a formal or systemic pay structure?	11:32:02
16	A. Yeah, I mean, there's pay structures,	
17	sure. I don't know, I'm not thinking about a	
18	systemic pay structure is something that I'm not	
19	recalling as I sit here.	
20	Q. Are you offering any opinion that all	11:32:18
21	Division I colleges and universities use a formal or	
22	rigid pay structure for coaches in their athletic	
23	departments?	
24	A. No.	
25	Q. Are you familiar with the concept of	11:32:33
		Page 127

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYD20**R**DER**ge 45 of 108

1	selection bias?	11:32:36
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. What is that?	
4	A. If you're wanting to analyze, say, a	
5	population and you take a sample from that	11:32:46
6	population, is the sample representative of the	
7	population further questions that you're	
8	answering or asking.	
9	Q. And is it important in econometric	
10	analysis to avoid selection bias?	11:33:08
11	A. It can be. It depends on the nature of	
12	the selection bias.	
13	Q. Well	
14	A. In other words, if it's small, then it	
15	doesn't change the outcomes very much. And, in	11:33:23
16	fact, trying to account for it can sometimes make	
17	the outcomes worse, which is interesting, because	
18	there aren't perfect ways to account for it. There	
19	are sort of estimators that one can use.	
20	So sometimes the estimators overshoot and	11:33:38
21	if you apply them, you may make the analysis worse.	
22	Q. So there are tools that economists have	
23	developed to try to address selection bias in	
24	econometric analysis?	
25	A. Yes.	11:33:56
		Page 128

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 46 of 108

1	Q. And, in fact, Dr. James Heckman won the	11:33:56
2	Nobel Prize for coming up with some of those	
3	methods; right?	
4	A. That and other work that he did, but yes.	
5	Q. Did you do anything in your models in this	11:34:12
6	case to avoid selection bias?	
7	A. Yes. I mean, I looked at we had a very	
8	large sample. Usually you're talking selection	
9	bias becomes a problem when you have a small sample.	
10	We have a very large sample. It's like	11:34:31
11	what, two-thirds of the schools, which is a super	
12	large sample, right?	
13	Yeah, and then I looked at that data	
14	compared to the population on some of the MFRS	
15	variables. In some of my sensitivity analysis, I	11:34:43
16	looked at in fact, I forgot, Dr. Heckman, I used	
17	an inverse Mills ratio and looked at some of that	
18	analysis.	
19	So I did look at that, but I didn't	
20	it's really not likely to be a problem, especially	11:34:57
21	in a probative analysis where you have got	
22	representation across the gamut of schools in terms	
23	of big and small or however else you want to define	
24	that.	
25	Q. How about in your damages model, did you	11:35:10
		Page 129

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 47 of 108

1	do anything to avoid selection bias there?	11:35:14
2	A. Well, the damages model is based on the	
3	first step, which is, would the school pay or not.	
4	And then let me just think through this for a	
5	minute.	11:35:30
6	And then I'm relying on the actual	
7	payments. I don't see a selection bias issue there.	
8	Because those are the actual payments. And then I'm	
9	just doing a deflationary adjustment.	
10	No, I don't see any sort of selection bias	11:35:46
11	in the calculation of damages.	
12	Q. You don't see any and so because you	
13	didn't see any potential selection bias issue with	
14	your method for calculating damages, you didn't take	
15	any steps to address selection bias in the	11:36:01
16	calculation of damages after doing your regression?	
17	A. Yeah, I didn't see I didn't see an	
18	issue.	
19	I mean, the interesting part here, as you	
20	know, is that, you know, to my knowledge, the	11:36:19
21	attorneys subpoenaed all of the schools, and so to	
22	the extent that one were to have found a selection	
23	bias, it would be driven by the defendants or the	
24	cartel members not turning over information, which	
25	economists have written for a while is not a	11:36:40
		Page 130

Case 1:23-cv-004260MEDENKIALDoRURSHAND-DO PROFFEOZVIVESORDERge 48 of 108

1	defense it should not be a defense because it	11:36:41
2	creates poor incentives in antitrust cases.	
3	Q. The only selection bias issue you could	
4	potentially see would be one created by which	
5	schools turned over information in response to	11:36:55
6	subpoenas?	
7	A. First, also for schools potentially that	
8	essentially an equilibrium might not have paid a	
9	coach.	
10	Like, in other words, someone could think	11:37:14
11	of a bias like that where you have a series of	
12	schools that an equilibrium wouldn't pay a coach,	
13	right? But I'm analyzing only damages for those	
14	schools that I believe in by conservative	
15	measure, where the equilibrium is, because I only go	11:37:28
16	three years out, would pay a coach.	
17	Q. Right. And so I'm just asking, in your	
18	damages model, after completing your regression	
19	where you predicted damages for coaches at schools	
20	that you say would have paid an additional coach,	11:37:46
21	you didn't take any steps to address any selection	
22	bias problem that you were worried about?	
23	A. I mean, I thought about the proper way to	
24	do damages, and I didn't see a selection bias	
25	problem there.	11:38:01
		Page 131

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 49 of 108

1	little more competitive. Yes, I guess it's	11:41:41
2	calculating sort of that market rate.	
3	Q. And as an economist, could you calculate	
4	the market rate in the but-for world without having	
5	some idea of what the market is?	11:41:55
6	A. Well, a single market rate, maybe that	
7	would I haven't thought enough about that because	
8	I haven't had to do that calculation.	
9	But the individual amounts that are paid,	
10	you can see that appearing. You know, one could	11:42:22
11	investigate a particular school and find out what	
12	they're paying for a particular position and then	
13	one would check to see, okay, is the market being	
14	restrained in some fashion. If it's not, then that	
15	can be representative of a market rate.	11:42:39
16	Again, assuming you know, in	
17	information, asymmetries are not there and common	
18	information perfect information is occurring	
19	across both parties.	
20	Q. Now, your opinion is that the relevant	11:42:51
21	labor market for baseball coaches is limited to	
22	baseball coaches; right?	
23	Doesn't include coaches in other sports;	
24	right?	
25	A. Correct.	11:43:05
		Page 134

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 50 of 108

1	Q. And why is that?	11:43:06
2	A. Essentially, based on some of the analysis	
3	that I've done in other cases, sort of that each	
4	sport has its own set of skills that aren't always	
5	transferable at the athlete and at the coaching	11:43:24
6	level.	
7	And based on sort of where we saw, even in	
8	this case, where those hires came from, they came	
9	from within baseball, actually. You didn't see the	
10	swimming coach saying, Hey, I'm going to become a	11:43:40
11	third assistant coach over at such and such school.	
12	Q. And so your opinion is that supply and	
13	demand for coaching services in baseball is	
14	different from supply and demand for coaching	
15	services in other sports?	11:43:54
16	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates testimony,	
17	foundation.	
18	THE WITNESS: When you say, "different," I	
19	mean, it's you could have markets that look	
20	similar and they could be two different sports for	11:44:03
21	sure.	
22	But, I mean, from my analysis, I didn't	
23	need to consider any of these other markets.	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. Now, what analysis did you do to reach	11:44:21
		Page 135

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 51 of 108

1	into becoming a Division I third assistant coach.	11:50:25
2	So like every labor market has people who	
3	enter the labor market who haven't had that exact	
4	training before. But the labor market is still	
5	defined as those jobs.	11:50:42
6	Q. During the class period, was the volunteer	
7	coach position a way for coaches to move their way	
8	up in the labor market?	
9	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation.	
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, generally.	11:50:56
11	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
12	Q. All right. So just to be clear, the	
13	position of baseball director is not in the relevant	
14	market you've defined; right?	
15	A. Yeah. I mean, this is the previous job.	11:51:23
16	So it yeah, it's not it's not in the	
17	relevant market is the Division I assistant baseball	
18	coaches.	
19	Q. Right. And 8 percent of coaches who took	
20	additional paid positions after the bylaws were	11:51:35
21	amended in your analysis in your November 1st	
22	declaration were baseball directors prior to doing	
23	that; right?	
24	A. I don't know what the percentage is for,	
25	the updated version of Exhibit 1.	11:51:50
		Page 140

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 52 of 108

1	A. For the previous job they had, yes.	11:56:24
2	Q. Right. So	
3	A. That's important to keep in mind.	
4	Q. I agree.	
5	A. Okay.	11:56:34
6	Q. But 29 percent of the coaches who took	
7	newly added paid positions, according to the data	
8	that you looked at in your November 1st declaration,	
9	previously had jobs that are outside of the relevant	
10	market that you defined; right?	11:56:47
11	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered.	
12	You've asked that same question four times	
13	now.	
14	THE WITNESS: Previously had jobs, just like a	
15	college kid graduating from college with no	11:56:57
16	experience in a particular field is entering a	
17	market that's not defined by them entering that	
18	market as much as it's defined by the jobs that	
19	people take in that market and what happens when	
20	someone changes when there's a 5 or 10 percent	11:57:11
21	pay change.	
22	Which, again, here, we have 100 percent	
23	pay change.	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. Is it common, sir, for kids graduating	11:57:19
		Page 145

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 53 of 108

1	college and trying to enter a particular job market	11:57:22
2	to take a job for free for a little while?	
3	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation, calls for	
4	speculation.	
5	THE WITNESS: Graduating from school?	11:57:31
6	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
7	Q. Yeah.	
8	A. Common? It's not the majority. I'm sure	
9	it happens, but most students who are graduating who	
10	get a job are getting paid for that job.	11:57:44
11	Q. And some students who graduate college	
12	take a job where they don't get paid in order to try	
13	and enter a market, right?	
14	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objection.	
15	THE WITNESS: That generally occurs while they	11:57:58
16	are in college.	
17	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
18	Q. Does it sometimes occur after people leave	
19	college that they work without compensation to try	
20	to enter a market?	11:58:06
21	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: Of course it sometimes occurs.	
23	MR. STEWART: Just a note, I'm continuing to	
24	join in all of Mr. Broshuis' objections. Is that	
25	correct, Justin?	11:58:19
		Page 146

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 54 of 108

1	testimony.	12:02:10
2	THE WITNESS: Can you say that again? I'm	
3	sorry.	
4	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
5	Q. Sure.	12:02:15
6	Your model predicts that some schools who	
7	hired volunteer baseball coaches would not have	
8	hired a third assistant paid baseball coach in the	
9	but-for world.	
10	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates prior	12:02:29
11	testimony.	
12	THE WITNESS: My analysis says conservatively,	
13	here are the schools that it's reasonable to	
14	conclude would have hired a third paid baseball	
15	assistant in the but-for world.	12:02:43
16	That doesn't mean that because I only	
17	went three years out. That doesn't mean that in	
18	some further equilibrium, you wouldn't eventually	
19	get to the bulk of Division I schools paying a third	
20	assistant coach.	12:02:58
21	I just didn't measure that.	
22	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
23	Q. Well, are you offering the opinion that	
24	all schools who hired a volunteer baseball coach	
25	would have hired a third paid baseball assistant in	12:03:09
		Page 150

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 55 of 108

1	the but-for world?	12:03:14
2	A. No, I'm not offering that opinion.	
3	Q. Now, you're aware that the class	
4	definition in this case is narrower in the context	
5	of your report than it was when the complaint was	12:03:29
6	filed; right?	
7	A. I don't remember what the class definition	
8	was when the complaint was filed.	
9	Q. But are you aware that the class	
10	definition in your report has dropped a number of	12:03:41
11	schools that fielded baseball teams in Division I?	
12	A. Yes. It doesn't have all of the schools	
13	that field baseball teams in Division I.	
14	Q. Right. And, in fact, the class definition	
15	in your report has dropped a number of schools that	12:03:55
16	hired volunteer coaches in baseball during the class	
17	period?	
18	A. Yeah.	
19	And, remember, I'm not defining the class.	
20	I list the class. The class is defined	12:04:09
21	Q. I understand.	
22	A. Yeah, okay.	
23	But yeah, yeah, it has there are	
24	schools that are not listed in the class definition	
25	that hired volunteer baseball coaches in the past.	12:04:20
		Page 151

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 56 of 108

1	Q. And the schools that are no longer listed	12:04:25
2	in the class who hired volunteer baseball coaches	
3	during the class period are the schools that your	
4	model did not predict would have hired an additional	
5	paid baseball coach in the but-for world; right?	12:04:40
6	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates prior	
7	testimony.	
8	THE WITNESS: So my model predicts a certain	
9	number of schools and the class list, I think,	
10	largely mirrors the class definition largely	12:04:52
11	mirrors those same schools.	
12	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
13	Q. Are you aware of any are you aware of	
14	any school that you predict would have hired an	
15	additional paid baseball assistant in the but-for	12:05:03
16	world who is not in the class?	
17	A. I'm not aware of that, no.	
18	Q. Are you aware of any school that you	
19	predict would not have hired an additional paid	
20	baseball coach that is in the class?	12:05:17
21	A. Not that I'm aware of.	
22	Q. So the schools that are in the class, as	
23	you lay it out in your report, are the schools that	
24	your model predicts would have hired an additional	
25	paid baseball assistant in the but-for world?	12:05:33
		Page 152

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 57 of 108

1	A. I mean, I didn't I think that's largely	12:05:36
2	true. I mean, I don't know of a case where it's	
3	not.	
4	Q. And so far as you know, the schools that	
5	hired paid strike that.	12:05:46
6	As far as you know, the schools that hired	
7	volunteer assistant coaches in the actual world who	
8	are not in the class are the schools that your model	
9	predicted would not have hired additional paid	
10	baseball coaches in the but-for world?	12:06:02
11	MR. STEWART: Actually, can I just understand	
12	the question for a second?	
13	THE REPORTER: Yeah, you're going to have to	
14	repeat it.	
15	MR. RAPHAEL: I'm hearing a consensus in the	12:06:09
16	room to repeat it, so I will.	
17	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
18	Q. As far as you know, the schools who hired	
19	volunteer assistant coaches in the actual world who	
20	are not in the class are the schools where your	12:06:19
21	model predicted they would not have hired additional	
22	paid assistants in baseball in the but-for world?	
23	MR. BROSHUIS: And that's where I'll object to	
24	it misstating prior testimony.	
25	THE WITNESS: My analysis provides a	12:06:36
		Page 153

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 58 of 108

1	is it takes time for them to sort of figure out what	12:13:56
2	they want to do and then how they're going to	
3	actually do it.	
4	Q. So one reason why a school might not have	
5	hired an additional paid coach after the bylaws were	12:14:10
6	changed is that it takes time for their budgets to	
7	adjust?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And another reason I think you proffer as	
10	to why a school might not have hired an additional	12:14:19
11	paid baseball coach after the rules changed is	
12	something called "lingering effects"; right?	
13	A. Yes. I mean, lingering effects is the	
14	broader term, I guess.	
15	Q. Are you offering any opinion that	12:14:32
16	lingering effects and the amount of time it takes	
17	for budgets to adjust explains why every school that	
18	did not higher an additional paid baseball coach	
19	made that decision?	
20	A. No. I don't need to know why, I just need	12:14:50
21	to know whether they hired a coach or not.	
22	Q. Okay. So and are you offering any	
23	specific opinion, understanding you think you don't	
24	need to, but are you offering any specific opinion	
25	as to why any particular school did not hire an	12:15:11
		Page 160

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 59 of 108

1	additional paid baseball coach after the bylaws	12:15:17
2	changed?	
3	A. No.	
4	Q. Could you go to paragraph 174 of your	
5	declaration, please.	12:15:29
6	MR. STEWART: Can I inquire whether the	
7	questioner is getting hungry?	
8	I know some of the participants are	
9	getting hungry.	
10	MR. BROSHUIS: We're a little short of an hour.	12:15:43
11	If we could go another like 15 minutes?	
12	MR. RAPHAEL: Yeah, that makes sense.	
13	MR. BROSHUIS: Great. Thank you.	
14	MR. RAPHAEL: I thought Dennis was commenting	
15	on the tone of my questions.	12:15:50
16	MR. STEWART: No, no. You're showing great	
17	staying power.	
18	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
19	Q. All right. So in paragraph 74	
20	MR. BROSHUIS: 174?	12:16:06
21	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
22	Q. 174, excuse me, you refer to "(a) the	
23	schools that have already adopted."	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A. Yes.	12:16:18
		Page 161

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 60 of 108

1	Q. And "(b) the schools that will never	12:16:19
2	adopt."	
3	Right?	
4	A. Sure.	
5	Q. So is it your opinion that some schools	12:16:27
6	will never adopt an additional paid baseball coach	
7	position?	
8	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered.	
9	THE WITNESS: I think I guess I'm not liking	
10	my word choice now that I sit here. I'm just saying	12:16:44
11	that aren't adopting in the three years that I'm	
12	looking at.	
13	You know, again, eventually, these schools	
14	may end up adopting that because they hit their	
15	equilibrium a little bit later, you know.	12:17:02
16	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
17	Q. Or eventually, some schools may not adopt	
18	an additional paid baseball coach position; right?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Did you investigate whether any Division I	12:17:36
21	school that did not adopt an additional paid	
22	baseball coach position made that decision for	
23	reasons related to Title IX or gender equity?	
24	A. Not specific to any particular school, but	
25	I did note that in both the actual world and the	12:18:02
		Page 162

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 61 of 108

1	experiment is still occurring. That's the whole	12:20:42
2	point of this. I mean, that's a big part of this.	
3	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
4	Q. Are you aware of any information in the	
5	record that you think is a better source of	12:20:52
6	information about what schools would have done with	
7	their baseball programs in the but-for world than	
8	what they actually did after the bylaws were	
9	amended?	
10	A. I am not.	12:21:13
11	Q. You didn't conduct any study as to the	
12	extent to which the need for university budgets to	
13	adjust explains why schools did not add additional	
14	paid baseball coaching positions; right?	
15	A. No. As I said before, the first of	12:21:52
16	all, this is a rounding error for any university	
17	budget hiring an assistant baseball coach.	
18	But besides that, I looked at what they	
19	just actually did, meaning that they made whatever	
20	adjustments that they felt that they needed to make	12:22:09
21	in order to hire those coaches.	
22	Q. Now, the logic of lingering effects is	
23	residual collusion?	
24	A. That's one way that lingering effects can	
25	occur, right? That isn't the only way that	12:22:28
		Page 165

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 62 of 108

1	AFTERNOON SESSION 1:05 P.M.	
2		
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning of	
4	Media No. 4 in the deposition of Dr. Daniel Rascher.	
5	We're back on the record. The time is 1:05.	13:05:58
6	EXAMINATION RESUMED	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. Dr. Rascher, is it your opinion that the	
9	existence of the NCAA bylaws that are being	
10	challenged proves that all volunteer coaches would	13:06:07
11	have been hired for paid positions without those	
12	bylaws?	
13	A. No. I mean, I don't have an opinion on	
14	it, obviously, outside of baseball.	
15	Within baseball, as I said, I'm only sort	13:06:30
16	of measuring a certain number of schools and,	
17	therefore, a certain number of volunteer coaches and	
18	potentially more beyond sort of the outside of the	
19	class.	
20	But I'm not saying I think you asked me	13:06:44
21	this before, I'm not saying all 312 schools, I	
22	think, doing their data, would have necessarily	
23	hired a paid volunteer coach.	
24	Q. All right. Thank you.	
25	Is it true that many Division I baseball	13:07:02
		Page 169

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 63 of 108

1	programs let me ask that differently.	13:07:05
2	Is it true that some Division I baseball	
3	programs did not pay the maximum number of paid	
4	coaches even during the class period?	
5	A. Yes, I believe that's true.	13:07:20
6	Q. Do you know how many Division I baseball	
7	programs didn't pay the maximum number of paid	
8	coaches during the class period?	
9	A. Excuse me. I don't know that as I sit	
10	here, no.	13:07:35
11	Q. As an economist, can you explain why some	
12	baseball programs would not have hired the maximum	
13	number of paid coaches that they were permitted to	
14	during the class period?	
15	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation, outside	13:07:51
16	the scope.	
17	THE WITNESS: I mean, again, I didn't study the	
18	why. I just sort of observed the data.	
19	But I think it just stems from their	
20	decision on their objectives and emphasis in that	13:08:10
21	particular in baseball, you know. Like they've	
22	chosen not to emphasize as much baseball.	
23	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
24	Q. Did you perform any study of the effect of	
25	either internal or external pay equity on Division I	13:08:32
		Page 170

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 64 of 108

1	baseball coach salaries?	13:08:36
2	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope.	
3	THE WITNESS: Not specific to that but,	
4	obviously, I did look at sort of the pay structures	
5	within each team and within each peer group to sort	13:09:00
6	of get a sense you know, to understand sort of	
7	that pay ladder that we see at the various schools.	
8	And that helped me measure damages, yeah.	
9	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
10	Q. But you didn't perform any study to	13:09:20
11	calculate the extent to which internal or external	
12	pay equity explains the pay structure or salaries of	
13	any baseball coaches?	
14	A. No.	
15	Q. Are you offering any opinion on who would	13:09:44
16	have applied to any paid position that would have	
17	been offered in the but-for world, other than the	
18	volunteer coaches who worked in the actual world?	
19	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; relevance.	
20	THE WITNESS: I mean, only to the extent that I	13:10:06
21	looked at who did get the job. I don't know who	
22	else applied, right, for each of the schools that	
23	did end up paying someone, but I know who got the	
24	job.	
25	And you can see, as we talked about	13:10:23
		Page 171

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 65 of 108

1	became available.	13:11:34
2	So I think you generally can see the type	
3	of person but no, not name by name, person by	
4	person.	
5	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	13:11:47
6	Q. What percentage of the coaches who got one	
7	of the newly added paid assistant baseball coach	
8	positions after the bylaws were amended were a	
9	volunteer coach at the same school the year before?	
10	A. What percentage?	13:12:05
11	Q. Yeah.	
12	A. I think it was a little over 50 percent,	
13	if I remember.	
14	Q. Could we go back to Exhibit 1 from your	
15	declaration, which I think is page 37.	13:12:14
16	So you see there in your November 1st	
17	declaration, Exhibit 1, says that 66 percent of the	
18	coaches who took the newly added positions in	
19	baseball in 2023/2024 had been a D-I assistant the	
20	year before; right?	13:12:48
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. And that D-I assistant category includes	
23	both paid and volunteer positions, right?	
24	A. I believe in this exhibit, it does. I	
25	don't recall if I broke it out in my other Exhibit 1	13:13:01
		Page 173

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 66 of 108

,	that I a in my mana magant war art. Dut I think in	12.12.06
1	that's in my more recent report. But I think in	13:13:06
2	this one, yes, it includes both paid and unpaid.	
3	Q. Okay. And you're saying that, to the best	
4	of your memory, the percentage of coaches who took	
5	newly added paid positions in 2023/2024 who had been	13:13:17
6	volunteer coaches at the same school the year before	
7	was about 50 percent?	
8	A. Well, I had the number calculated	
9	somewhere. I think I even report it. I don't know	
10	if it's in this section or not. But it's in here	13:13:33
11	somewhere, I'm pretty sure. And if not, I know that	
12	it's a little above 50. It's more than half.	
13	Q. Is it more than 60 percent?	
14	A. I don't recall.	
15	Q. But fair to say then that maybe a little	13:13:49
16	less than half of the volunteer coaches at schools	
17	that then added a paid position in 2023/2024 were	
18	not hired for that position?	
19	A. Well, some of them were hired for that	
20	position at another school, a good chunk of them,	13:14:11
21	yeah.	
22	Q. What percentage of volunteers in 2022 and	
23	2023 were hired for a paid position at a different	
24	school than where they volunteered?	
25	A. Do you mean '23/'24 or are you being	13:14:27
		Page 174

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 67 of 108

1	So we're trying to understand what would	13:16:01
2	have happened in the but-for world based on a	
3	particular year that isn't in the sort of the	
4	middle of the class period.	
5	So like if a volunteer decides, okay, now	13:16:11
6	I'm going on to do whatever, sort of regardless what	
7	the labor market was, I'm not assuming that that was	
8	like they couldn't get a job in that you know, we	
9	don't know either way, right?	
10	But I don't know the percentages of I	13:16:27
11	just don't know them as I sit here. It's sort of	
12	breaking down a little bit, I think, that first line	
13	there in the old exhibit.	
14	Q. Agreed.	
15	But could it be the case that at least	13:16:48
16	some of the volunteers in the 2022/2023 academic	
17	year who did not get paid positions the following	
18	year failed to do that because they were less	
19	qualified than other candidates for that paid	
20	position?	13:17:06
21	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in	
22	evidence, lack of foundation.	
23	THE WITNESS: I mean, I just don't have a I	
24	don't have information on that, on the why. Again,	
25	it's the what and it's the whether they would have	13:17:16
		Page 176

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 68 of 108

1	been paid or not is sort of what I looked at. I	13:17:21
2	don't know why.	
3	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
4	Q. But you can't conceive of it being the	
5	case that, for at least some of the volunteers who	13:17:27
6	did not get paid positions the year after the bylaws	
7	changed, that that was because they were less	
8	qualified than other candidates?	
9	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
10	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't have you know,	13:17:41
11	I don't know who applied for these jobs, so I don't	
12	know what the I can only see who ended up getting	
13	the jobs.	
14	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
15	Q. Right. And you say you don't have any	13:17:54
16	information on why any coaches who were volunteers	
17	in 2022/2023 didn't get paid positions the following	
18	year; right?	
19	A. Yeah. I mean, I don't have information on	
20	that.	13:18:09
21	Q. And why don't you have information on	
22	that?	
23	A. I mean, it just wasn't part of what I	
24	needed to be able to do my analysis. I didn't reach	
25	out to each volunteer and survey them and ask them,	13:18:20
		Page 177

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 69 of 108

1	you know, why they ended up where they ended up.	13:18:23
2	Q. You haven't investigated the	
3	qualifications of coaches who volunteered during the	
4	class period as compared to the coaches of who were	
5	hired for paid positions after the bylaws were	13:18:49
6	amended; right?	
7	A. I haven't investigated what about them?	
8	Q. Their qualifications.	
9	MR. STEWART: Objection; vague.	
10	THE WITNESS: To the extent that they were	13:19:03
11	hired, in both cases, they were qualified.	
12	I mean, remember, even the volunteer	
13	position was limited. You couldn't hire more than	
14	one, so the schools had to pick who they wanted to	
15	hire for those positions. Once they are paid, it's	13:19:18
16	the same situation.	
17	But no, I did not look at each volunteer	
18	to try to figure out were they good pitching coaches	
19	or not.	
20	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	13:19:35
21	Q. Is it possible that some of the coaches	
22	who were hired for paid positions after the bylaws	
23	were amended were more skilled or qualified than	
24	some coaches who were volunteers during the class	
25	period?	13:19:48
		Page 178
		Page 1

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 70 of 108

1	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; lack of foundation,	13:19:49
2	assumes facts not in evidence, outside the scope.	
3	THE WITNESS: I mean, again, a lot of the same	
4	folks were hired, but it is possible, of course,	
5	that a school hired a coach.	13:20:02
6	But, remember, those are two different	
7	years, also, right? So could they have hired that	
8	coach in the prior year? We don't know. But they	
9	ended up hiring who they ended up hiring.	
10	Again, I didn't need to ask or answer that	13:20:15
11	question in order to do my analysis.	
12	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
13	Q. Okay. So you have no opinion on whether	
14	coaches who were hired for a paid position at a	
15	school after the bylaws were amended had more skills	13:20:26
16	or experience or were more qualified than the	
17	coaches who volunteered at the same school during	
18	the class period?	
19	A. Well, we see of those more than half who	
20	were hired by the same school, they were a year or	13:20:44
21	more qualified. Like it's the same person but	
22	they're a year more qualified.	
23	And then the other volunteers who were	
24	hired by other schools, right, they're a year more	
25	qualified.	13:20:57
		Page 179

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 71 of 108

1	Q. Do you have any opinion on whether the	13:20:58
2	coaches who were hired for the paid coach positions	
3	that were added after the bylaws were amended were	
4	more qualified or skilled than the volunteers who	
5	never got any paid position?	13:21:11
6	A. I mean, again, some of them are the same,	
7	but for the ones who are not the same, I mean, I	
8	don't have an opinion on that.	
9	Q. Does your Probit model apply to schools	
10	that hired fewer than two paid assistant coaches	13:21:49
11	during the class period?	
12	A. I guess it yeah, I right, I plug all	
13	the schools in to see what the result is.	
14	So, yes, some of the schools that hired	
15	fewer than two or two assistant coaches are in the	13:22:18
16	analysis, yeah.	
17	Q. And is it your opinion that the bylaws	
18	that are being challenged explain why a school might	
19	not have hired the maximum number of paid coaches	
20	that were permitted during the class period?	13:22:45
21	A. Wait, is it my opinion that the	
22	bylaws	
23	Q. Well, if a school didn't hire the maximum	
24	number of paid coaches that were permitted during	
25	the class period, is that because of the challenged	13:22:58
		Page 180

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 72 of 108

1	calibrate it."	13:53:27
2	You see that?	
3	A. Yeah.	
4	Q. And you're talking about a key test of the	
5	model's effectiveness is to see how accurate its	13:53:33
6	predictions were; right?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. All right. And your model predicted what	
9	actually happened in 2023 and 2024 79 percent of the	
10	time?	13:53:55
11	A. Yes, 79 percent of the time when you	
12	account for false positives and false negatives,	
13	right?	
14	Q. Right.	
15	A. If you're looking at it from the	13:54:05
16	perspective of being conservative, meaning you don't	
17	like to see a false positive, meaning that the model	
18	predicts that they would have paid in '23/'24 but	
19	they didn't, right, then you're sort of adding a	
20	school to a list that may not really be there.	13:54:24
21	But I'm less concerned with a false	
22	negative, meaning a school that the model doesn't	
23	predict would have paid but, you know, lo and	
24	behold, they decided to anyway, right?	
25	So that's sort of why I've written it the	13:54:42
		Page 204

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 73 of 108

1	way that I've written it here.	13:54:45
2	And that's 89.4 percent accurate when you	
3	look at the model, whether it's correct or	
4	conservative.	
5	Q. But your regression analysis incorrectly	13:54:58
6	predicted the hiring decisions of baseball programs	
7	in 2023/2024 21 percent of the time for the data you	
8	had; right?	
9	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered.	
10	THE WITNESS: It overpredicts 10 percent of the	13:55:16
11	time. It over and underpredicts about 20 percent of	
12	the time	
13	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
14	Q. Right.	
15	A yeah.	13:55:24
16	Q. Now, for any of the 20 percent of the	
17	time, roughly, that your model either overpredicts	
18	or underpredicts, do you know why it got the	
19	prediction wrong?	
20	A. For the underpredict, it's real	13:55:36
21	interesting. The peer conduct variable, it's like	
22	if you don't include that, you get more	
23	underprediction.	
24	So in other words, you get schools that	
25	financially, you're like why are they paying this	13:55:57
		Page 205

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 74 of 108

1	person, but then they feel the pressure from the	13:56:00
2	competition in their conference and then they end up	
3	paying anyway, you know, like, you know, in the real	
4	world, right?	
5	So that was sort of driving what I call	13:56:11
6	the lower left side of the matrix, which is the	
7	false negatives.	
8	The false positives, I mean, some of that	
9	comes from the fact that we have one year of data.	
10	You know, we have we don't have all the schools,	13:56:26
11	right? It's just a it's a model that, you know,	
12	that has I think it's sufficient by far but would	
13	certainly be, I think, even more accurate if it had	
14	more data.	
15	And, unfortunately, we don't have that	13:56:45
16	because some schools I don't know legally why,	
17	but some schools somehow can refuse subpoenas. I	
18	don't understand how that works.	
19	Q. Other than only having one year of data,	
20	do you have any opinion on why any of the false	13:56:57
21	positives in your model's predictions occurred?	
22	A. I remember looking at one point, some	
23	of them actually were paying, and once I did another	
24	round of cleaning of the data, you see that they	
25	were actually paying.	13:57:36
		Page 206

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 75 of 108

1	But that isn't in the final model because	13:57:37
2	I think we cleaned the data quite well.	
3	As I sit here, I can't think of why some	
4	of those schools are overpredicted. I would be	
5	surprised in the second year of data if a number of	13:57:49
6	those don't end up paying.	
7	Q. And well, let's look at an example just	
8	to understand.	
9	So if you could go to Appendix C to your	
10	report?	13:58:06
11	A. It's at the end, yeah?	
12	Q. Yes.	
13	A. Okay.	
14	MR. STEWART: Are you referring to a certain	
15	page?	13:58:26
16	MR. RAPHAEL: I'm sorry, there's not. It's	
17	just towards the end.	
18	THE WITNESS: It' an appendix. It's the last	
19	pages, yeah.	
20	MR. BROSHUIS: Three pages from the very end.	13:58:34
21	MR. STEWART: I got it. Thank you.	
22	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
23	Q. So could you look at on the first page	
24	of Appendix C, could you look at, let's say, Bradley	
25	University.	13:58:46
		Page 207

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 76 of 108

		•
1	You see that?	13:58:46
2	A. Sure, I see that.	
3	Q. And you predict that Bradley was	
4	72.4 percent likely to hire an additional paid	
5	baseball coach; right?	13:58:56
6	A. Yes, that's what the model predicts.	
7	Q. But, in fact, when Bradley was permitted	
8	by NCAA bylaws to hire an additional paid baseball	
9	coach, they didn't; right?	
10	A. Right.	13:59:11
11	Q. And do you know why Bradley didn't do	
12	that?	
13	A. I don't.	
14	Q. How would you try to figure out why	
15	Bradley didn't hire an additional paid coach when	13:59:27
16	they were permitted to do so?	
17	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; relevance, outside	
18	the scope.	
19	THE WITNESS: I mean, I suppose someone could	
20	ask them or someone could dig into that. It's not	13:59:44
21	required for my analysis.	
22	I mean, any model is not going to be	
23	100 percent perfect, obviously, right? So it's	
24	going to have errors on both sides, right, but it	
25	does have high goodness of fit.	14:00:00
		Page 208

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 77 of 108

1	And so I don't know why Bradley did not	14:00:04
2	pay in the first year, but, you know, we've talked	
3	about some of the possible reasons, budget, timing.	
4	You know, that's sort of, I think, one of the main	
5	ones, is sort of when they decided to get around to	14:00:20
6	doing it.	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. But you don't know whether any of those	
9	things explained why Bradley didn't pay an	
10	additional paid baseball coach in 2023/2024; right?	14:00:29
11	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates the	
12	testimony, outside the scope, irrelevant.	
13	THE WITNESS: No.	
14	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
15	Q. I think you said that the underpredictions	14:00:39
16	in your model, the false negatives, make your model	
17	conservative; right?	
18	A. I mean, yeah. I mean, I'm not calculating	
19	damages for the volunteers at those schools because	
20	the model doesn't think in equilibrium, you know,	14:01:03
21	look at 2018, a number of years ago, right, would	
22	they have paid that third coach?	
23	In '23/'24, they did, but the model says	
24	that they would not likely have done that in	
25	2018/'19, at least, again, with you know, with	14:01:21
		Page 209

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 78 of 108

1	you know, easily be corrected using evidence that's	14:31:36
2	common to the class.	
3	I'm just not as I sit here, I don't	
4	I can't think of a school that does that.	
5	Q. Well, if you did calculate damages for the	14:31:44
6	year 2023/2024 at a school that didn't hire a third	
7	assistant coach that year, you would have calculated	
8	damages for people who don't exist; right?	
9	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, argumentative,	
10	asked and answered.	14:32:01
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that's probably	
12	true, yes.	
13	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
14	Q. If a school hired a third paid assistant	
15	in 2023/2024 and you had data from that school, then	14:32:27
16	you used that school's salary for the third paid	
17	assistant as the baseline for damages for that	
18	school during the class period; right?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. So what you did was you just took the	14:32:43
21	salary that a school that did pay a third assistant	
22	and then you kind of just discounted that for the	
23	time factors; right?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. All right. Now, if a school did not hire	14:32:57
		Page 220

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 79 of 108

1	an additional paid coach, a third paid assistant, in	14:33:01
2	2023/2024, but they provided data and you predict	
3	that school would have hired a third paid assistant,	
4	then you calculate a base salary; right?	
5	A. Yes.	14:33:18
6	Q. All right. And what you did to calculate	
7	that base salary is that you divided the schools in	
8	your sample into deciles; right?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. And then you calculate how much do the	14:33:28
11	schools in each decile pay their top two assistant	
12	coaches; right?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. And you average those?	
15	A. Yes, you can add them or average them,	14:33:38
16	yes.	
17	Q. Right. And then you compare the ratio of	
18	the school's salaries for its top two assistant	
19	coaches to the average of the other schools in its	
20	decile; right?	14:33:51
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. And then what you do is you multiply that	
23	by the average salary that schools in the decile	
24	paid their third assistant coach if they hired one	
25	in 2023/'24?	14:34:03
		Page 221

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 80 of 108

1	A. Yes.	14:34:08
2	Q. So the salaries that you predict that	
3	coaches would have earned at schools that didn't	
4	hire a third paid assistant when they could have are	
5	based in part on the salaries of the coaches who	14:34:18
6	were hired as third paid assistants?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Are the schools that did hire third paid	
9	assistants likely to be different from the schools	
10	that didn't hire third paid assistants in any way?	14:34:45
11	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, foundation.	
12	THE WITNESS: I mean, they hired them, so	
13	they're you know, they're interested in investing	
14	in baseball.	
15	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	14:35:12
16	Q. Sure. The schools that hired third paid	
17	assistants after the bylaws were amended are, all	
18	things being equal, more likely to be interested in	
19	baseball than the schools that didn't hire third	
20	paid assistants?	14:35:27
21	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague.	
22	THE WITNESS: Again, all things being equal is	
23	carrying a lot of water, but yes, generally.	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. And, in fact, the whole point of your	14:35:36
		Page 222

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 81 of 108

1	Q. For a labor economist, what are some of	14:44:51
2	the factors that can affect earnings?	
3	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope,	
4	foundation, overbroad.	
5	THE WITNESS: I mean, generally, you know,	14:45:04
6	skills, experience, demand for labor, the	
7	competitiveness of the supply of labor, and the	
8	competitiveness of the demand of labor.	
9	I think those are generally the big	
10	factors.	14:45:24
11	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
12	Q. Could tenure affect earnings?	
13	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague and some other	
14	objections.	
15	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	14:45:32
16	Q. But by "tenure," I mean like how long	
17	someone has worked at a particular school.	
18	MR. BROSHUIS: The same objections.	
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, when I said, "experience,"	
20	maybe I was including that, but we can add	14:45:42
21	experience separate from tenure and then tenure, if	
22	you want.	
23	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
24	Q. And are you familiar with standard human	
25	capital theory?	14:45:48
		Page 230

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYEO**RD**ER**ge 82 of 108

A. Yes.	14:45:49
Q. And what is that?	
(Whereupon, someone briefly entered and	
exited the deposition room.)	
A. It's essentially that workers are paid the	14:45:52
value of their are paid the value of their	
marginal revenue product in competitive markets and	
that individual factors can sort of drive what that	
value is.	
Q. And is human capital theory standard in	14:46:17
the field of economics?	
MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope.	
THE WITNESS: I mean, it's one of the theories.	
Obviously, you can't apply it to every situation.	
But, yeah, it's one theory that's pretty common.	14:46:32
BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
Q. You would find human capital theory in an	
economics textbook; right?	
A. In a labor textbook, probably. You might	
not find it in a macro and micro textbook, but yeah.	14:46:43
Q. You would find human capital theory in a	
labor economics textbook?	
A. Yes.	
Q. Did you apply human capital theory in this	
case?	14:47:05
	Page 231
	Q. And what is that? (Whereupon, someone briefly entered and exited the deposition room.) A. It's essentially that workers are paid the value of their — are paid the value of their marginal revenue product in competitive markets and that individual factors can sort of drive what that value is. Q. And is human capital theory standard in the field of economics? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's one of the theories. Obviously, you can't apply it to every situation. But, yeah, it's one theory that's pretty common. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. You would find human capital theory in an economics textbook; right? A. In a labor textbook, probably. You might not find it in a macro and micro textbook, but yeah. Q. You would find human capital theory in a labor economics textbook? A. Yes. Q. Did you apply human capital theory in this

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 83 of 108

1	incentives.	14:53:33
2	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
3	Q. Right. And the point of economics is that	
4	the person's personal incentives in the market are	
5	part of looking at the entire market; right?	14:53:40
6	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; argumentative.	
7	MR. STEWART: Vague.	
8	THE WITNESS: Right. And that's what I did.	
9	Those volunteers worked all those years back to 2018	
10	unpaid, right, because of the cartel. And when the	14:53:52
11	cartel changed its rule, right, then some of those	
12	same people worked for those same schools, some of	
13	those people worked for other schools and then other	
14	people came in and worked for some of those schools,	
15	right?	14:54:11
16	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
17	Q. You keep describing the 2023/2024 as	
18	what happened there as a result of the market; is	
19	that right?	
20	A. It's the beginning of an equilibrium.	14:54:21
21	Q. Right. And what you're starting to see	
22	are in 2023/2024 are market forces working;	
23	right?	
24	A. You're starting to, yes.	
25	Q. Right. And so when you see the decisions	14:54:32
		Page 237

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYEO**RD**ER**ge 84 of 108

1	that schools made about who they would hire for	14:54:34
2	their third paid assistant position in baseball,	
3	that also is the market forces starting to work;	
4	right?	
5	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates prior	14:54:47
6	testimony and asked and answered several times now	
7	and, also, argumentative.	
8	THE WITNESS: It's the beginning of the market	
9	starting to work, but it's not at equilibrium.	
10	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	14:54:58
11	Q. Great.	
12	Let me show you a document. I believe	
13	this is Exhibit 81.	
14	(Deposition Exhibit 81 was marked.)	
15	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	14:55:31
16	Q. Take as much time as you want to review	
17	it.	
18	A. Little tiny font.	
19	Q. I'm sorry about that.	
20	A. No, that's okay. I need better glasses.	14:55:48
21	I can't use them too long because I get sort of a	
22	headache, but they work for the tiny font.	
23	Okay. Sure. I recognize this.	
24	Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 81 as a document	
25	that you cite in your report?	14:55:59
		Page 238

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 85 of 108

1	A. Yes, I believe so.	14:56:02
2	Q. Exhibit 81 is an article from the U.S.	
3	Bureau of Labor Statistics; right?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. And the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics,	14:56:14
6	as an economist, you see that as a generally	
7	reliable source of information?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. So do you see this is the third page of	
10	the document there's a header that says, "Reasons	14:56:29
11	Wages Vary."	
12	Do you see that?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. All right. And it says, "Everyone brings	
15	unique skills and abilities to a job and no two jobs	14:56:38
16	are exactly alike."	
17	You see that?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. And do you agree that that's consistent	
20	with standard labor economic principles?	14:56:53
21	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope,	
22	foundation.	
23	THE WITNESS: I mean, of course no two jobs are	
24	exactly alike. You know, that doesn't mean you	
25	can't analyze them in the labor market, but yeah.	14:57:04
		Page 239

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 86 of 108

1	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	14:57:07
2	Q. And you agree that it's standard labor	
3	economics that everyone brings unique skills and	
4	abilities to a job; right?	
5	MR. BROSHUIS: The same objections.	14:57:14
6	THE WITNESS: Again, every person is different.	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. So the answer to my question is yes?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. And then this document from the Bureau of	14:57:22
11	Labor Statistics that you cited says, "Variations	
12	affect pay for jobs within the same occupation.	
13	Often, the more pronounced these variations are, the	
14	bigger the wage difference."	
15	Do you see that?	14:57:36
16	A. You lost me on where that is. Just	
17	wait	
18	Q. Oh, I apologize. I'm looking just where	
19	we finished under the heading "Reasons Wages	
20	Vary"	14:57:47
21	A. Oh, yeah.	
22	Q and this document from the U.S. Bureau	
23	of Labor Statistics says that "Variations affect pay	
24	for jobs within the same occupation. Often, the	
25	more pronounced these variations are, the bigger the	14:57:56
		Page 240

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 87 of 108

1	wage difference."	14:57:59
2	Do you see that?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. And do you agree that that reflects	
5	standard labor economic principles?	14:58:03
6	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I cited this because of	
8	exactly this, that in this case, when you have the	
9	same school, so the geography is the same, which is	
10	one of the points she lists, and you have the same	14:58:24
11	industry, right, and you have the same employer, you	
12	can take what the market said in '23/'24 and apply	
13	it to the previous years.	
14	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
15	Q. Well, how about if you had different	14:58:36
16	employers, is what you said still the same?	
17	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; incomplete	
18	hypothetical, vague.	
19	THE WITNESS: As this says, industry or	
20	employer. So you have the same industry, also.	14:58:47
21	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
22	Q. Okay.	
23	A. And you have employers that are competing	
24	directly with each other under a same set of rules	
25	that the NCAA puts forth about baseball teams,	14:58:57
		Page 241

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 88 of 108

1	right, similar number of scholarships, number of	14:59:01
2	coaches.	
3	You know, the NCAA sort of creates the	
4	rules to make these similar across schools.	
5	Q. Is it a standard principle of labor	14:59:14
6	economics that variations in the skill affect pay	
7	for jobs within the same occupation?	
8	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope,	
9	foundation.	
10	THE WITNESS: Again, in a textbook, yes. When	14:59:28
11	there's other restrictions, you may not have that.	
12	For instance, variations in skill caused	
13	all of the volunteer coaches to make zero dollars	
14	even though they were at different schools, right?	
15	So sometimes you have labor market rules	14:59:48
16	that don't make this true. So this is a general	
17	statement about a general competitive labor market.	
18	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
19	Q. Right. It's standard in labor economics	
20	that in general, in a competitive labor market,	15:00:00
21	variations affect pay for jobs within the same	
22	occupation; correct?	
23	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
24	THE WITNESS: Again, yes, that can be the case.	
25	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:00:10
		Page 242

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 89 of 108

1	Q. And I think you said that that you	15:00:10
2	would see that principle in a textbook; right?	
3	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, generally.	
5	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:00:18
6	Q. All right. And is it standard in labor	
7	economics that, in general, in a competitive labor	
8	market, the more pronounced variations in the skill	
9	are, the bigger the wage difference will be among	
10	workers?	15:00:34
11	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
12	THE WITNESS: Again, it depends.	
13	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
14	Q. What does it depend on?	
15	A. It depends on the nature of the labor	15:00:43
16	market. Does it have restrictions or not, like this	
17	labor market that we're talking about.	
18	Q. Okay. So in a labor market without	
19	restrictions, is it standard labor economics that	
20	the more pronounced variations in skill are, the	15:01:03
21	bigger the wage difference among workers?	
22	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections, and asked and	
23	answered now as well.	
24	THE WITNESS: Again, it depends on the	
25	distribution of the companies in those labor markets	15:01:17
		Page 243

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 90 of 108

1	and their individual demand.	15:01:21
2	I mean, it really depends on all aspects	
3	of the labor market.	
4	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
5	Q. Right. Wage levels at any particular job	15:01:28
6	in a market depend on the employer's individual	
7	demand and the individual worker's skills; right?	
8	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered,	
9	vague, outside the scope.	
10	THE WITNESS: Among other things.	15:01:41
11	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
12	Q. Did you do anything to control for the	
13	possibility that the coaches who were hired as	
14	additional paid coaches in 2023/2024 had more	
15	skills, experience or tenure than the coaches who	15:02:05
16	were volunteers during the class period?	
17	A. I noted that, again, many of them were the	
18	same volunteers during the class period.	
19	Q. And many of them were not; correct, sir?	
20	A. Some were not.	15:02:26
21	Q. Right.	
22	A. But many more were.	
23	Q. Well, the number of paid coaches who were	
24	hired as the third paid coach in 2023 and 2024 is a	
25	lot smaller than the total number of volunteers	15:02:37
		Page 244

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 91 of 108

1	isn't it?	15:02:40
2	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, and, also,	
3	we've covered all of this.	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
5	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:02:50
6	Q. All right. And so did you do anything to	
7	try to control for the fact that the paid coaches	
8	hired as third assistants in 2023/2024 had more	
9	skills or experience than coaches who were	
10	volunteers during the class period?	15:03:08
11	A. Again, it was not it was not needed for	
12	my analysis.	
13	Q. And because you thought it was not needed	
14	to control for differences in skill and experience	
15	between volunteers and paid coaches who were hired	15:03:26
16	for the third assistant position in 2023/2024, you	
17	didn't make any effort to control for that; right?	
18	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
19	MR. STEWART: Lacks foundation.	
20	MR. BROSHUIS: Lacks foundation.	15:03:40
21	THE WITNESS: The analysis controls for the	
22	amount that these coaches were paid, and as I said,	
23	many of them were volunteers.	
24	So like it's the same coaches from the	
25	same labor market, right? Volunteers from the same	15:03:55
		Page 245

Case 1:23-cv-004**250MBBDEN**KIALDo**RURS**HA**NT**D-**T**O **PROFFEOZITYE©**R**DER**ge 92 of 108

1	school, volunteers from different schools, it's the	15:03:59
2	same labor market, so these are the same group of	
3	coaches.	
4	No, I didn't look at a particular school	
5	and a particular coach to see if they had one more	15:04:09
6	year of experience than the volunteer from the year	
7	before. Now, that could be the same person because	
8	they had one more year experience, right?	
9	No, I did not look at that. I looked at	
10	what the market said that filling that position on	15:04:19
11	that team was worth, right, playing the role of that	
12	position on that team.	
13	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
14	Q. But a coach playing the same role on the	
15	same team could provide a different marginal revenue	15:04:33
16	product to the school; right?	
17	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered,	
18	foundation.	
19	THE WITNESS: I mean, that is possible. But	
20	we're dealing with the same sort of pool of coaches.	15:04:47
21	So I don't think that that's a it's not like a	
22	Major League baseball coach came and decided to be	
23	the third assistant coach.	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. Have you calculated the marginal revenue	15:05:02
		Page 246

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 93 of 108

		•
1	product for any coach in Division I, ever?	15:05:05
2	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation,	
3	relevance.	
4	THE WITNESS: I actually have. It's been two	
5	decades. It was not baseball. It was basketball.	15:05:24
6	But that's what you asked.	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. All right. So you	
9	A. So I have calculated the MRP of coaches	
10	and athletes.	15:05:34
11	Q. Not a good question. Let me ask a better	
12	one.	
13	Have you calculated the marginal revenue	
14	product of any baseball coach in Division I during	
15	the class period or after?	15:05:41
16	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
17	THE WITNESS: I have appealed. I have not	
18	calculated their MRP on the input side. I have	
19	calculated what they are being paid on the output	
20	side.	15:05:57
21	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
22	Q. Right. And so you're not offering the	
23	opinion that the marginal revenue product of all	
24	coaches who coached in Division I since the start of	
25	the class period was the same, are you?	15:06:05
		Page 247

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 94 of 108

1	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; relevance, lack of	15:06:08
2	foundation, argumentative.	
3	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not. I'm not providing	
4	that opinion, no.	
5	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:06:17
6	Q. In fact, standard labor economics would	
7	tell you that different coaches have different	
8	marginal revenue products; isn't that right?	
9	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; same objections.	
10	THE WITNESS: Again, depends on the situation.	15:06:27
11	But generally, if you have lots of coaches at	
12	different schools, they're going to have different	
13	MRPs.	
14	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
15	Q. And did you do anything to calculate to	15:06:36
16	control for the possibility that the MRPs of the	
17	coaches who were hired as paid assistants for the	
18	third paid assistant position had higher MRPs than	
19	volunteers during the class period?	
20	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; outside the scope,	15:07:00
21	irrelevant, foundation.	
22	THE WITNESS: Again, I looked at the labor	
23	market and took note that these weren't a bunch of	
24	folks coming in from outside of the pool that	
25	already existed.	15:07:12
		Page 248

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 95 of 108

1	I mean, that if you had seen that, a	15:07:13
2	bunch of coaches coming from outside who had a lot	
3	more experience, right, then that becomes a more	
4	interesting question. But we have the same pool of	
5	coaches basically moving into these paid positions.	15:07:26
6	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
7	Q. So other than noting that the coaches who	
8	moved into the paid positions, many of them were	
9	already in the labor market you've defined, did you	
10	do anything to control for the possibility that the	15:07:41
11	coaches hired for third paid assistant positions in	
12	2023/2024 had higher MRPs than the volunteers during	
13	the class period?	
14	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, outside the	
15	scope, irrelevant, asked and answered multiple	15:07:58
16	times.	
17	THE WITNESS: As I sit here, I can't recall.	
18	I'm just trying to think of whether I did something	
19	related to that but	
20	Yeah, I think I really just looked at what	15:08:24
21	the market actually did in '23/'24 and noted that	
22	these are from the same labor market and that they	
23	essentially are doing the same job. It's the third	
24	assistant coach.	
25	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:08:41
		Page 249

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 96 of 108

1	Q. So other than that, nothing to control for	15:08:42
2	whether the coaches hired for new third paid	
3	assistant positions in 2023/2024 had higher MRPs	
4	than the volunteers during the class period?	
5	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	15:08:57
6	THE WITNESS: Again, I think that the estimate	
7	that I provide of what these coaches would have been	
8	paid is a reasonable estimate because it's what	
9	these coaches these same type of coaches were	
10	actually paid in the actual labor market, in a labor	15:09:10
11	market that's not even at equilibrium yet.	
12	So not only are you going to see more	
13	schools jumping in increasing demand, but you're	
14	going to see those wages rise over time.	
15	So it's a conservative estimate based on	15:09:27
16	what the schools were paying their other coaches and	
17	based on what they actually paid these coaches of	
18	what the damages were for these different	
19	volunteers.	
20	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:09:37
21	Q. Okay. I know your counsel is objecting as	
22	asked and answered, but I just don't think I've	
23	gotten a clear answer to my question.	
24	So other than looking at the actual	
25	salaries that were paid and noting that there were	15:09:45
		Page 250

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 97 of 108

1	coaches filling the new third paid assistant	15:09:48
2	positions who came from the labor market, did you do	
3	anything to control for the possibility that the	
4	coaches who were hired for the new third paid	
5	assistant positions had higher MRPs than the	15:10:02
6	volunteers?	
7	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections; still vague,	
8	outside the scope, irrelevant, asked and answered	
9	multiple times.	
10	He's giving you his best answer and you	15:10:12
11	just don't like it.	
12	THE WITNESS: The revenues I do, actually,	
13	account for that directly with the revenues. So	
14	half of MRP is the marginal revenue, which comes	
15	from the revenues that the schools are generating.	15:10:22
16	The revenues that the schools are	
17	generating in '23/'24, I forecast that backwards to	
18	'22/'23, and it goes down typically because revenues	
19	go up over time. And so that's accounting for the	
20	MRP, if you will, of those coaches in '23/'24.	15:10:37
21	I'm accounting for that sort of step down	
22	because the revenues of those programs are going	
23	down over time.	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. When you say, "MRP" or "marginal revenue	15:10:53
		Page 251

Case 1:23-cv-004250NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 98 of 108

1	product," is that another way of saying the value	15:10:56
2	that a coach provides?	
3	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague.	
4	THE WITNESS: The dollar value, yeah.	
5	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:11:03
6	Q. And is the dollar value that the coach	
7	provides always reflected directly in the revenues	
8	that a school earns from baseball?	
9	A. It's the combination of the revenues and	
10	the productivity.	15:11:16
11	Q. And so the marginal revenue product could	
12	provide value a coach could provide value to a	
13	school as part of their marginal revenue product	
14	that was not reflected in the dollar amount of the	
15	revenues from the baseball program in any particular	15:11:36
16	year?	
17	A. I mean, we talked about the schools having	
18	objective functions like branding and stuff. So, I	
19	mean, all that is sort of baked into it, but yes,	
20	that's not just directly the revenues from the	15:11:49
21	baseball program.	
22	Q. You used essentially the same method that	
23	you used to calculate the salaries that volunteer	
24	coaches would have been paid to calculate the value	
25	of the health benefits you say they would have	15:12:07
		Page 252

Case 1:23-cv-004260NBBDENKIALDoRURSHAND-TO PROFFECTIVE ORDERGE 99 of 108

1	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objection.	15:14:45
2	THE WITNESS: Other than from the coming from	
3	the same pool of volunteers who more than half of	
4	them ended up at the same school doing the same job	
5	as an indicator of the importance of what those	15:14:57
6	schools value to those assistant coaches.	
7	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
8	Q. So you're inferring something from how	
9	much coaches in 2023/2024 were paid about the value	
10	they provided; is that fair?	15:15:12
11	A. I mean, I don't need to measure the value	
12	they provided for my analysis. I'm measuring	
13	damages, right?	
14	But as I said, all those volunteers who	
15	ended up being hired at the same school for some	15:15:24
16	whatever amount they were paid is at least an	
17	indication of what those schools were willing to pay	
18	in order to have them coach for them, which partly	
19	includes at least the value that they bring.	
20	Q. Right. The amount that the schools paid	15:15:38
21	the third paid assistants they hired in 2023/2024 is	
22	in part based on the value they perceived they would	
23	get from the coaches; right?	
24	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections.	
25	THE WITNESS: In part, yes.	15:15:52
		Page 255

Case 1:23-cv-0042**CONSTITADOPURSHANT-TO PROTECTIVES**ORIPERS 100 of 108

1	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:15:53
2	Q. Right. And you don't have any salaries	
3	for the coaches who volunteered during the class	
4	period because they weren't paid anything; right?	
5	A. That is correct.	15:16:01
6	Q. Right. So you don't have any data on the	
7	coaches who were never hired for a paid position	
8	what their value to the schools was, do you?	
9	A. I mean, the cartel didn't allow that.	
10	Q. Right. And so you don't have that data;	15:16:13
11	right?	
12	A. I don't know what the volunteer I know	
13	what the volunteer was paid, zero dollars.	
14	I think a fair estimate of what they would	
15	have been paid is what is the fact that they did the	15:16:27
16	job and then we see what the similar job was paid	
17	once it was allowed.	
18	Q. Right. So you're inferring that the value	
19	that the volunteers provided and the third paid	
20	assistants provided was the same because they did	15:16:43
21	the same job?	
22	A. I mean, generally, they were hired into a	
23	position that the year before they weren't allowed	
24	to be paid for, yeah.	
25	Q. Okay. But do you agree that different	15:16:54
		Page 256

Case 1:23-cv-0042**CONSTITAD OPURSHANT-TO PROTECTIVE S**ORDER 101 of 108

1	workers can provide different value in the same job?	15:16:56
2	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered,	
3	foundation, outside the scope.	
4	THE WITNESS: Again, generally, that may be the	
5	case. It all depends on the situation.	15:17:08
6	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
7	Q. So if I looked at your damages model,	
8	would I see any specific data or variables for the	
9	tenure, skills, age or experience for any Division I	
10	baseball coach?	15:17:22
11	A. See any variables?	
12	Q. Yeah.	
13	A. You just don't observe them we don't	
14	observe them directly. They're baked into the	
15	amount that's being paid.	15:17:38
16	Q. Okay. So other than them being a part of	
17	the amount that's paid, you don't have any part of	
18	your damages model that has a variable or piece of	
19	data for age, tenure, experience or skills; right?	
20	A. Yeah, I mean, it's part of what's baked	15:18:02
21	into the market wage itself.	
22	Q. And other than what's baked in, you don't	
23	have any variables or specific pieces of data about	
24	age, tenure, experience or skills for any D-I coach	
25	in your damages model; right?	15:18:19
		Page 257

Case 1:23-cv-0042**CONSTITAD OPURSHANT-TO PROTECTIVES**ORIPEINE 102 of 108

1 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered. 2 THE WITNESS: I don't need to have it in my model. 3 model, so I don't have it in my model. 4 BY MR. RAPHAEL: 5 Q. Thank you. 6 Let's go back to health insurance. 7 Were you aware that some baseball coaches 8 had health insurance from other sources while they 9 were volunteers? 10 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 11 evidence. 12 THE WITNESS: That may be the case. 13 BY MR. RAPHAEL: 14 Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had 15 health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 16 volunteer coach at UC Davis? 17 A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least 19 some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance 20 through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 21 job? 22 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for 23 speculation. 24 (Reporter seeks clarification.) 25 THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20 Page 258			
model, so I don't have it in my model. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Thank you. 15:18:26 Let's go back to health insurance. Were you aware that some baseball coaches had health insurance from other sources while they were volunteers? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 evidence. THE WITNESS: That may be the case. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	1	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered.	15:18:21
4 BY MR. RAPHAEL: 5 Q. Thank you. 15:18:26 6 Let's go back to health insurance. 7 Were you aware that some baseball coaches 8 had health insurance from other sources while they 9 were volunteers? 10 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 11 evidence. 12 THE WITNESS: That may be the case. 13 BY MR. RAPHAEL: 14 Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had 15 health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 16 volunteer coach at UC Davis? 17 A. I'm unaware of that. 18 Q. Would it be your expectation that at least 19 some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance 20 through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 21 job? 22 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for 23 speculation. 24 (Reporter seeks clarification.) 25 THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	2	THE WITNESS: I don't need to have it in my	
5 Q. Thank you. 15:18:26 6 Let's go back to health insurance. 7 Were you aware that some baseball coaches 8 had health insurance from other sources while they 9 were volunteers? 10 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 11 evidence. 12 THE WITNESS: That may be the case. 13 BY MR. RAPHAEL: 14 Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had 15 health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 16 volunteer coach at UC Davis? 17 A. I'm unaware of that. 18 Q. Would it be your expectation that at least 19 some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance 20 through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 21 job? 22 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for 23 speculation. 24 (Reporter seeks clarification.) 25 THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	3	model, so I don't have it in my model.	
Were you aware that some baseball coaches had health insurance from other sources while they were volunteers? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 evidence. THE WITNESS: That may be the case. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	4	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
Mere you aware that some baseball coaches had health insurance from other sources while they were volunteers? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 evidence. THE WITNESS: That may be the case. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	5	Q. Thank you.	15:18:26
had health insurance from other sources while they were volunteers? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 evidence. THE WITNESS: That may be the case. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	6	Let's go back to health insurance.	
9 were volunteers? 10 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 11 evidence. 12 THE WITNESS: That may be the case. 13 BY MR. RAPHAEL: 14 Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had 15 health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 16 volunteer coach at UC Davis? 17 A. I'm unaware of that. 18 Q. Would it be your expectation that at least 19 some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance 20 through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 21 job? 22 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for 23 speculation. 24 (Reporter seeks clarification.) 25 THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	7	Were you aware that some baseball coaches	
10 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in 15:18:39 11 evidence. 12 THE WITNESS: That may be the case. 13 BY MR. RAPHAEL: 14 Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had 15 health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 16 volunteer coach at UC Davis? 17 A. I'm unaware of that. 18 Q. Would it be your expectation that at least 19 some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance 20 through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 21 job? 22 MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for 23 speculation. 24 (Reporter seeks clarification.) 25 THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	8	had health insurance from other sources while they	
the witness: That may be the case. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	9	were volunteers?	
THE WITNESS: That may be the case. BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	10	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; assumes facts not in	15:18:39
BY MR. RAPHAEL: Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	11	evidence.	
Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	12	THE WITNESS: That may be the case.	
health insurance from another source while he was a 15:18:51 volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	13	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
volunteer coach at UC Davis? A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	14	Q. Did you know whether Michael Hacker had	
A. I'm unaware of that. Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	15	health insurance from another source while he was a	15:18:51
Q. Would it be your expectation that at least some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	16	volunteer coach at UC Davis?	
some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	17	A. I'm unaware of that.	
through another source, like a spouse or a parent's 15:19:08 job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	18	Q. Would it be your expectation that at least	
job? MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	19	some of the volunteer coaches had health insurance	
MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for speculation. (Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	20	through another source, like a spouse or a parent's	15:19:08
23 speculation. 24 (Reporter seeks clarification.) 25 THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	21	job?	
(Reporter seeks clarification.) THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	22	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; calls for	
THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer 15:19:20	23	speculation.	
	24	(Reporter seeks clarification.)	
Page 258	25	THE WITNESS: Regardless, as part of an offer	15:19:20
			Page 258

Case 1:23-cv-0042**CONSTITATION PURSHANT-TO PROTECTIVE S**ORDER 103 of 108

1	to be paid health insurance as part of the cartel	15:20:30
2	rule.	
3	So I can't see what their health insurance	
4	would have been from the school, but you see the	
5	other coaches right next to them, the second	15:20:39
6	assistant, the first assistant, the head coach	
7	getting, you know, health insurance payments.	
8	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
9	Q. You didn't investigate whether any class	
10	member had health insurance through another source	15:20:57
11	during the class period, did you?	
12	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; asked and answered.	
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know whether these	
14	various volunteers I don't have access to that	
15	information.	15:21:09
16	I think that would probably violate HIPAA	
17	or FERPA.	
18	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
19	Q. Can you think of any way to figure out the	
20	health insurance that each class member had other	15:21:16
21	than asking them?	
22	MR. BROSHUIS: Same objections and irrelevant.	
23	THE WITNESS: As I sit here, no.	
24	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
25	Q. I think you well, strike that.	15:21:33
		Page 260

Case 1:23-cv-0042**CONSTITADOPURSHANT-TO PROTECTIVES**ORIPERS 104 of 108

1	When an employee already has health	15:21:38
2	insurance from another source, do they always get	
3	the value of the health insurance offered to them	
4	from their employer in extra cash?	
5	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague.	15:21:49
6	THE WITNESS: They can, but I wouldn't say they	
7	always do, no.	
8	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
9	Q. It would vary from employee to employee?	
10	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; foundation,	15:21:57
11	irrelevant.	
12	THE WITNESS: It might.	
13	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
14	Q. Did you do any analysis or investigation	
15	of how the health insurance plans available to	15:22:05
16	coaches at Division I colleges and universities	
17	compared to health insurance plans that any	
18	volunteer coaches actually had?	
19	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; irrelevant.	
20	THE WITNESS: I mean, I couldn't. I don't know	15:22:26
21	what health insurance plans the volunteer coaches	
22	actually had.	
23	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
24	Q. And so you don't know whether some of the	
25	health insurance plans that volunteer coaches	15:22:34
		Page 261

Case 1:23-cv-0042**CONSTITATION PURSHANT-TO PROTECTIVES**ORDER 105 of 108

1	actually had during the class period were more	15:22:37
2	valuable to them than the health insurance they	
3	would have gotten through the school they	
4	volunteered for?	
5	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; vague, irrelevant,	15:22:46
6	assumes facts not in evidence.	
7	THE WITNESS: Well, I do know that, again, as	
8	part of an offer, the health insurance package that	
9	one gets is at least an option value. I mean, at	
10	least it has value in terms of the option of being	15:22:59
11	able to accept it as a health insurance plan.	
12	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	
13	Q. Does your damages for health insurance in	
14	this case measure the option value of health	
15	insurance?	15:23:11
16	A. No.	
17	MR. RAPHAEL: Why don't we take a break here	
18	and I can see how much more I have.	
19	MR. BROSHUIS: Sure.	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of Media	15:23:18
21	No. 5. Off the record. The time is 3:23.	
22	(Recess taken.)	
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning of	
24	Media No. 6 in the deposition of Dr. Daniel Rascher.	
25	We're back on the record. The time is 3:40.	15:40:05
		Page 262

Case 1:23-cv-0042**CONSTITADOPURSHANT-TO PROTECTIVES**ORIPERS 106 of 108

1	on that team and be part of the labor market or not.	15:52:09
2	So I don't really have an answer for that	
3	one person.	
4	Q. Okay. So you have no opinion on whether a	
5	graduate assistant is participating in the labor	15:52:18
6	market you've defined at the time that they are	
7	working as a graduate assistant; is that right?	
8	A. I mean, I would sort of have to see	
9	what	
10	Yeah, you know, I think you can whether	15:52:43
11	you define them inside the market or out, it doesn't	
12	change my opinion on this entire case.	
13	As I sit here, I guess, to me, it sort of	
14	depends on what they're doing for that school.	
15	So, you know, you can define them inside	15:53:00
16	the labor market or out. It doesn't affect the	
17	general relevant market or the market power that the	
18	schools have.	
19	Q. Am I correct then that, in Exhibit 1 in	
20	your amended declaration, of the coaches who were	15:53:11
21	hired for third paid assistant jobs that you had	
22	data for, 32 percent of those coaches were working	
23	at a job outside of the labor market you have	
24	defined in the year before they became paid	
25	assistants?	15:53:30
		Page 271

Case 1:23-cv-0042**CONSTITAD OPURSHANT-TO PROTECTIVES**ORDER 107 of 108

1	MR. BROSHUIS: Objection; misstates prior	15:53:31
2	testimony.	
3	THE WITNESS: So as I said, the baseball	
4	director is sort of that next person down typically	
5	from those third assistant coaches. So they're part	15:53:37
6	of the team, right, part of the staff. I know	
7	there's rules about what they're allowed to do and	
8	not allowed to do that the NCAA puts forth.	
9	So in the year before, they're the	
10	baseball director, right, they're doing the	15:53:56
11	logistics and other things. In the year that they	
12	were hired, they became part of that labor market.	
13	So in the year before, 32 percent are not	
14	in the labor market until the following year.	
15	BY MR. RAPHAEL:	15:54:17
16	Q. Can you tell me anything other than the	
17	numbers in this chart and some changes to reflect	
18	claw backs by the NCAA that you made in your amended	
19	report?	
20	A. Oh, what were the changes	15:54:37
21	Q. Yeah.	
22	A from the first report?	
23	Q. Yes.	
24	A. So this table you're right, there's a	
25	claw back you mentioned of a document.	15:54:44
		Page 272

Case 1:23-cv-0042@WESDENTIADoRURSHANG-TO PROTOCTUVESORIPERS 108 of 108

1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby
3	certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
6	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
7	prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that
8	a record of the proceedings was made by me using
9	machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed
10	under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is
11	a true record of the testimony given.
12	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
13	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
14	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review
15	of the transcript (X) was () was not requested.
16	I further certify that I am neither
17	financially interested in the action nor a relative
18	or employee of any attorney of any party to this
19	action.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
21	subscribed my name.
22	Dated: 12/10/24
23	
24	Anna Ulimberley
25	ANRAE WIMBERLEY, CSR No. 7778
	Page 277